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The author presents a very interesting and relevant topic in these times. Honestly, the work is very well developed. I suggest priority for its publication, since it provides a new vision for the surgical community.
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The manuscript titled “Analogies between Medusa and Single Port Surgery” is a well-written review. But there are some concerns need to be addressed in the present version. 1. Please rephrase the title to show the study design (e.g., “A review”), and add keywords and statement on conflict of interest. 2. Please add citations into “introduction” and other sections to support your words (e.g., first few paragraphs, “From 2008, delighted by the first scientific reports”, “A low rate of less than 1%”, etc.). 3. Please revise the format of the inverted comma (e.g., under someone’s aegis, Athena’s, single port laparoscopy in Figure 1, 10mm). 4. “SPS” in the sentence “…emphasize several parallels between single-port surgery (SPS)…” has occurred in the first paragraph. 5. Please give the full name of SIL, RCTs, taTME, eTEP, etc. 6. Please revise the number and format of citations to comply with the BPG format. 7. Please report the limits and data for the search for “single port laparoscopy”. In particular, a search without restriction may include “conference abstracts”, “corrections”, and “retractions”. 8. Please add a full stop for the legend of the Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. 9. Please report the statistical method for Mean procedural times. 10. Please prettify the Table 1 and add the specific surgical methods in line of “Other procedures”.
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