<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3352</td>
<td>Identification of circ_0000375 and circ_0011536 as novel diagnostic biomarkers of colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Yin TF, Du SY, Zhao DY, Sun XZ, Zhou YC, Wang QQ, Zhou GYJ, Yao SK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3369</td>
<td>Retrospective Study: Echocardiography in the diagnosis of Shone’s complex and analysis of the causes for missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis</td>
<td>Li YD, Meng H, Pang KJ, Li MZ, Xu N, Wang H, Li SJ, Yan J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3389</td>
<td>Added value of systemic inflammation markers for monitoring response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients</td>
<td>Ke ZR, Chen W, Li MX, Wu S, Jin LT, Wang TJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3401</td>
<td>Washed microbiota transplantation reduces serum uric acid levels in patients with hyperuricaemia</td>
<td>Cai JR, Chen XW, He YJ, Wu B, Zhang M, Wu LH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3436</td>
<td>Meta-Analysis: Accuracy of ultrasound elastography for predicting breast cancer response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis</td>
<td>Chen W, Fang LX, Chen HL, Zheng JH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Contents

**Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 11 April 16, 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3449</td>
<td>Association of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with mild cognitive impairment and dementia risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis</td>
<td>Zhao LY, Zhou XL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3472</td>
<td>Difference and similarity between type A interrupted aortic arch and aortic coarctation in adults: Two case reports</td>
<td>Ren SX, Zhang Q, Li PP, Wang XD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3478</td>
<td>Combination therapy (toripalimab and lenvatinib)-associated toxic epidermal necrolysis in a patient with metastatic liver cancer: A case report</td>
<td>Huang KK, Han SS, He LY, Yang LL, Liang BY, Zhen QY, Zhu ZB, Zhang CY, Li HY, Lin Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3485</td>
<td>Unusual glomus tumor of the lower leg: A case report</td>
<td>Wang HY, Duan P, Chen H, Pan ZY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3490</td>
<td>Pulmonary <em>Cladosporium</em> infection coexisting with subcutaneous <em>Corynespora cassiicola</em> infection in a patient: A case report</td>
<td>Wang WY, Luo HB, Hu JQ, Hong HH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3496</td>
<td>Preoperational diagnosis and management of breast ductal carcinoma in situ arising within fibroadenoma: Two case reports</td>
<td>Wu J, Sun KW, Mo QP, Yang ZR, Chen Y, Zhong MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3505</td>
<td>Reconstruction of complex chest wall defects: A case report</td>
<td>Huang SC, Chen CY, Qiu P, Yan ZM, Chen WZ, Liang ZZ, Luo KW, Li JW, Zhang YQ, Huang BY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3511</td>
<td>Young children with multidrug-resistant epilepsy and vagus nerve stimulation responding to perampanel: A case report</td>
<td>Yang H, Yu D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3541</td>
<td>Severe gastric insufflation and consequent atelectasis caused by gas leakage using AIR-Q laryngeal mask airway: A case report</td>
<td>Zhao Y, Li P, Li DW, Zhao GF, Li XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3547</td>
<td>Hypereosinophilic syndrome presenting as acute ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction, and arterial involvement: A case report</td>
<td>Sun RR, Chen TZ, Meng M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3553</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450 family 17 subfamily A member 1 mutation causes severe pseudohermaphroditism: A case report</td>
<td>Gong Y, Qin F, Li WJ, Li LY, He P, Zhou XJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3573</td>
<td>Qingchang decoction retention enema may induce clinical and mucosal remission in left-sided ulcerative colitis: A case report</td>
<td>Li PH, Tang Y, Wen HZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3587</td>
<td>Ultrasound-guided local ethanol injection for fertility-preserving cervical pregnancy accompanied by fetal heartbeat: Two case reports</td>
<td>Kakinuma T, Kakinuma K, Matsuda Y, Ohwada M, Yanagida K, Kaijima H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3593</td>
<td>Successful apatinib treatment for advanced clear cell renal carcinoma as a first-line palliative treatment: A case report</td>
<td>Wei HP, Mao J, Hu ZL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3601</td>
<td>Del(5q) and inv(3) in myelodysplastic syndrome: A rare case report</td>
<td>Liang HP, Luo XC, Zhang YL, Liu B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3609</td>
<td>Papillary thyroid microcarcinoma with contralateral lymphatic skip metastasis and breast cancer: A case report</td>
<td>Ding M, Kong YH, Gu JH, Xie RL, Fei J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3615</td>
<td>Contrast-enhanced ultrasound manifestations of synchronous combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma: A case report</td>
<td>Gao L, Huang JY, Lu ZJ, Lu Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3624</td>
<td>Thyrotoxicosis after a massive levothyroxine ingestion: A case report</td>
<td>Du F, Liu SW, Yang H, Duan RX, Ren WX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3630</td>
<td>Pleomorphic adenoma of the left lacrimal gland recurred and transformed into myoepithelial carcinoma after multiple operations: A case report</td>
<td>Huang WP, Li LM, Gao JB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABOUT COVER
Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Chi-Yuan Yeh, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor, radiation oncology, Tungs’ Taichung MetroHarbor Hospital, Taichung 43503, Taiwan. peteryeh46@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE
The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING
The WJCC is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJCC’s CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE
Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL
World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN
ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE
April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY
Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF
Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE
April 16, 2022

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION
https://www.f6publishing.com
Clinical and Translational Research

Autophagy-related long non-coding RNA prognostic model predicts prognosis and survival of melanoma patients

Yue Qiu, Hong-Tao Wang, Xi-Fan Zheng, Xing Huang, Jin-Zhi Meng, Jun-Pu Huang, Zhen-Pei Wen, Jun Yao

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification
Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Wang CY

Received: August 10, 2021
Peer-review started: August 10, 2021
First decision: October 20, 2021
Revised: October 29, 2021
Accepted: January 17, 2022
Article in press: January 17, 2022
Published online: April 16, 2022

Abstract

BACKGROUND
Melanomas are malignant tumors that can occur in different body parts or tissues such as the skin, mucous membrane, uvea, and pia mater. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are key factors in the occurrence and development of many malignant tumors, and are involved in the prognosis of some patients.

AIM
To identify autophagy-related lncRNAs in melanoma that are crucial for the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of melanoma patients.

METHODS
We retrieved transcriptome expression profiles and clinical information of 470 melanoma patients from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Then, we identified autophagy-related genes in the Human Autophagy Database. Using R, coexpression analysis of lncRNAs and autophagy-related genes was conducted to obtain autophagy-related lncRNAs and their expression levels. We also performed univariate and multivariate Cox proportional risk analyses on the obtained datasets, to systematically evaluate the prognostic value of autophagy-related lncRNAs in melanoma. Fifteen autophagy-related lncRNAs were identified and an autophagy-related prognostic signature for melanoma was established. The Kaplan-Meier and univariate and multivariate Cox regression
analyses were used to calculate risk scores. Based on the risk scores, melanoma patients were randomly divided into high- and low-risk groups. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, dependent on time, was performed to assess the accuracy of the prognostic model. At the same time, we also downloaded the melanoma data sets GSE65904, GSE19234, and GSE78220 from the GENE EXPRESSION OMNIBUS database for model verification. Finally, we performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis functional annotation, which showed that the low and the high-risk groups had different enriched pathways.

RESULTS
The co-expression network for autophagy-related genes was constructed using R, and 936 lncRNAs related to autophagy were identified. Then, 52 autophagy-related lncRNAs were significantly associated with TCGA melanoma patients’ survival by univariate Cox proportional risk analysis ($P < 0.01$). Further, the 52 autophagy-related lncRNAs mentioned above were analyzed by multivariate Cox analysis with R. Fifteen lncRNAs were selected: LINC01943, AC090948.3, USP30-AS1, AC068282.1, AC004687.1, AL133371.2, AC242842.1, PCD1B-AS1, HLA-DQB1-AS1, AC011374.2, LINC00324, AC018553.1, LINC00520, DBH-AS1, and ITGB2-AS1. The $P$ values in all survival analyses using these 15 lncRNAs were $< 0.05$. These lncRNAs were used to build a risk model based on the risk score. Negative correlations were observed between risk scores and overall survival rate in melanoma patients over time. Additionally, the melanoma risk curve and scatter plot analyses showed that the death number increased along with the increase in the risk score. Overall, we identified and established a new prognostic risk model for melanoma using 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs. The risk model constructed with these lncRNAs can help and guide melanoma patient prognosis predictions and individualized treatments in the future.

CONCLUSION
Overall, the risk model developed based on the 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs can have important prognostic value and may provide autophagy-related clinical targets for melanoma treatment.

Key Words: Melanoma; Long non-coding RNAs; Autophagy; Prognosis; The Cancer Genome Atlas; Bioinformatics
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Core Tip: Long non-coding ribonucleic acids (lncRNAs) are key factors in the development of many malignant tumors and are involved in the prognosis of some patients. The expression of autophagy-associated lncRNAs was associated with survival in melanoma patients. We obtained 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs and established a melanoma prognosis model, which can predict the prognosis of melanoma patients and is more accurate than TNM stage, age, gender, and other clinical indicators, and may provide autophagy-related clinical targets for the treatment of melanoma.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i11.3334

INTRODUCTION
Melanomas are malignant tumors that can occur in different body parts or tissues such as the skin, mucous membrane, uvea, and pia mater. While the incidence of many tumors is declining, the incidence of melanoma continues to increase[1]. The global prevalence of melanoma is increasing by about 3% to 7% per year[2]. Although many melanoma patients have localized tumors at diagnosis that can be completely removed by surgery, many patients present signs of metastasis[3]. Early melanoma has a good prognosis, but advanced melanoma patients have a very poor prognosis, with a 5%-10% survival rate, even with treatment[4]. Autophagy, originally considered a process of lysosomal-dependent degradation of cytoplasmic components in response to starvation, has been shown to affect multiple homeostasis aspects and to constitute a prevention barrier to malignant transformation[5]. Therefore, the combination of autophagy-related factors and pathological classification for risk stratification in melanoma patients can be crucial to prognosis and treatment response predictions.
Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are transcribed RNAs with a length of more than 200 nucleotides that are not translated into proteins[6]. Many studies have shown that noncoding RNAs can be associated with tumor pathogenesis by epigenetic regulation, as well as transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional processes. Moreover, lncRNAs can be used as sensitive and specific cancer biomarkers [7]. Previous studies have shown that melanoma pathogenesis was associated with different lncRNAs, in vitro and in vivo, and that some of them can be potential melanoma therapy targets, such as UCA1, DSCAM-AS1, and miR155HG[8,9]. However, the lncRNAs involved in autophagy and their prognostic value were not previously investigated, and many mechanisms remain unclear.

Therefore, we retrieved human clinical melanoma datasets from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database and screened and analyzed genes associated with melanoma prognosis. Finally, 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs were identified as melanoma prognosis biomarkers. Compared to other clinical indicators, these lncRNAs had higher accuracy to predict melanoma patients' survival.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient characteristics and data processing**

The data of 471 melanoma patients were retrieved from the TCGA database (https://cancer.genome.nih.gov/). In addition, the GSE65904, GSE19234, and GSE78220 data sets were downloaded from the GENE EXPRESSION OMNIBUS (GEO) database, including the expression data and clinical information of a total of 265 melanoma patients (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). In this study, the lncRNA expression data in the TCGA database included complete clinical and follow-up data of 349 patients, which were finally used for analyses. Patients’ clinical characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

This study followed the TCGA published guidelines, and since the data used here were from the published TCGA database, Institutional Review Board approval was not required.

**Autophagy-related gene acquisition and co-expression network establishment**

The Human Autophagy Database was used to identify autophagy-related genes. Then, R was used to analyze the association of autophagy-related genes and lncRNAs (correlation coefficient > 0.3; \( P < 0.001 \)), and a co-expression network was constructed. Finally, 936 melanoma autophagy-related lncRNAs were identified, and their expression levels were obtained.

**Autophagy-related lncRNA signaling related to melanoma prognosis**

The univariate proportional risk, Kaplan-Meier survival, and multivariate risk analyses were conducted using R to calculate patients’ risk scores and to identify if these autophagy-related lncRNAs were involved in the melanoma prognosis. The risk score was calculated using the formula: Risk score = expr (lncRNA1) \( \times \) coef (lncRNA1) + expr (lncRNA2) \( \times \) coef (lncRNA2) + ... + expr (lncRNA_n) \( \times \) coef (lncRNA_n). Melanoma patients were divided into high- and low-risk groups, based on the median risk score. Finally, three data sets from the GEO database (GSE65904, GSE19234, and GSE78220) were used for verification analysis.

**Multi-indicator receiver operating characteristic curve and independent prognostic analyses**

Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were used to systematically assess the relations between prognosis, clinicopathological factors, and risk scores in melanoma patients. To evaluate and verify the predictive accuracy of the prognostic model, we conducted receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis on the data from the TCGA database and the GSE78220 data set from the GEO database, and drew the ROC curve.

**Co-expression network and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis**

A co-expression network with 15 prognostic autophagy-related genes was constructed using R (version 4.0.4). The mulberries were mapped and the network was visualized using Cytoscape. Then, survival curves were drawn for these 15 lncRNAs, and risk survival and risk curves were drawn. Then, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was employed for functional annotation. The GSEA focused not only on high-score genes but also on a range of genes related to biological processes that are associated with cancer pathogenesis, including stress response, transcription, and metabolic pathways. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant[10].

**RESULTS**

**Identification of autophagy-related lncRNAs and establishment of a melanoma prognostic model**

The co-expression network for autophagy-related genes was constructed using R, and 936 lncRNAs related to autophagy were identified. Then, 52 autophagy-related lncRNAs were significantly associated
with TCGA melanoma patients’ survival by univariate Cox proportional risk analysis ($P < 0.01$). Among the 52 autophagy-related lncRNAs, four were related to a high risk (Table 2). Further, the 52 autophagy-related lncRNAs mentioned above were analyzed by multivariate Cox analysis with R. Fifteen lncRNAs were selected: LINC01943, AC090948.3, USP30-AS1, AC068282.1, AC242842.1, PCED1B-AS1, HLA-DQB1-AS1, AC011374.2, LINC00324, AC018553.1, LINC00520, DBH-AS1, and ITGB2-AS1 (Table 3). They were subsequently used to construct a melanoma prognostic risk model (Figure 1). Then, we assigned the enrolled melanoma patients into two groups based on their median risk scores. The $P$ values in all survival analyses using these 15 lncRNAs were $< 0.05$ (Figure 2). To investigate the survival rates in these groups, other survival analyses were performed. Negative correlations were observed between risk scores and overall survival rate in melanoma patients over time (Figure 3A). Additionally, the melanoma risk curve and scatter plot analyses showed that the death number increased along with the increase in the risk score. Therefore, this demonstrated that the melanoma patients’ mortality was related to the risk score (Figure 3B-D). The heat map produced with these 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs shows that LINC00520 and AC018553.1 were highly expressed in the high-risk group. High expression of others lncRNAs was observed in patients with a low-risk score. In order to verify the accuracy and stability of the model, we decided to use the GSE65904, GSE19234, and GSE78220 data sets from the GEO database to verify, and conduct survival, risk curve, scatter plot, and heat map analyses, and the results are basically consistent with those of the above analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). Overall, we screened 15 pairs of lncRNAs with prognostic significance in melanoma, paving the way for the subsequent melanoma prognostic model establishment.

**Evaluation of the independent prognostic risk model in melanoma patients**

The above analyses identified 15 lncRNAs and established a prognostic risk model for the melanoma patients. Next, multivariate and univariate Cox regression analyses were employed to confirm if this model could be used for melanoma prognosis prediction. Results showed that the hazard ratio (HR) was 1.912 in the univariate analysis and 1.715 in the multivariate. Additionally, the 95% confidence interval of the risk score was 1.643-2.226 ($P < 0.001$) and 1.467-2.005 ($P < 0.001$) in the univariate and multivariate analyses, respectively (Figure 4A and B). These results suggested that in our model, the most important prognostic factors for melanoma were not age, gender, or TMN stage, but the 15 lncRNAs. To verify the sensitivity and specificity of the risk model, ROC curves of risk scores and other clinical indicators were plotted. The area under the risk score curve (AUC) was 0.712, exceeding the AUC of the other clinical factors (Figure 4C). In addition, we also verified the above analysis in three datasets from the GEO database, and the analysis results are consistent with those of the TCGA database (Supplementary Figure 2). These results suggested that the risk model was more accurate than other clinicopathological factors in predicting melanoma patients’ prognosis.

**Different autophagy states in melanoma patients**

GSEA software (version 4.1.0) was used for functional annotation. The differentially expressed genes of the high-risk group were mainly enriched in glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, while in the low-risk group, pathways such as antigen processing and presentation, Toll-like receptor signaling, and cytokine production positive regulation were enriched (Figure 5).
Table 2 Fifty-two lncRNAs associated with survival in melanoma patients identified by univariate Cox proportional risk analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LncRNA</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>Lower limit (95%CI)</th>
<th>Upper limit (95%CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C5orf56</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC011899.2</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC098613.1</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC068262.1</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINCO1943</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP002807.1</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL590764.1</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINCO00324</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEB1-AS1</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC098613.1</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC015911.3</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL662844.4</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL137003.2</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIAT</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL133371.2</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC022706.1</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U62317.1</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC090948.3</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC011374.2</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC242842.1</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC004918.1</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRG-AS1</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH-AS1</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC018755.4</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC060766.7</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC090559.1</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USP30-AS1</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAXIP1-AS2</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL365361.1</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC012236.1</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DQ91-AS1</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRBV11-2</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC093726.1</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL157871.2</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIR155HG</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC243960.1</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC083799.1</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINCO2446</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITG2-AS1</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AC004687.1  0.881  0.813  0.955  0.002  Low
PCED1B-AS1  0.914  0.865  0.964  0.001  Low
WAC-AS1    0.916  0.876  0.959  0.000  Low
LINC01871  0.918  0.880  0.957  0.000  Low
PSMB8-AS1  0.944  0.920  0.969  0.000  Low
THCAT158  0.952  0.923  0.981  0.001  Low
HCP5      0.975  0.964  0.986  0.000  Low
KU-MEL-3  1.006  1.002  1.010  0.003  High
LINC00520  1.011  1.005  1.017  0.001  High
AC100791.3  1.186  1.067  1.318  0.001  High
AC018553.1  1.255  1.129  1.396  0.000  High

AC004687.1  0.881  0.813  0.955  0.002  Low
PCED1B-AS1  0.914  0.865  0.964  0.001  Low
WAC-AS1    0.916  0.876  0.959  0.000  Low
LINC01871  0.918  0.880  0.957  0.000  Low
PSMB8-AS1  0.944  0.920  0.969  0.000  Low
THCAT158  0.952  0.923  0.981  0.001  Low
HCP5      0.975  0.964  0.986  0.000  Low
KU-MEL-3  1.006  1.002  1.010  0.003  High
LINC00520  1.011  1.005  1.017  0.001  High
AC100791.3  1.186  1.067  1.318  0.001  High
AC018553.1  1.255  1.129  1.396  0.000  High

HR: Hazard ratio.

Table 3 Prognostic melanoma risk model based on multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LncRNA</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LINC01943</td>
<td>-0.265</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC090948.3</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USP30-AS1</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC068282.1</td>
<td>-0.253</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC004687.1</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL133371.2</td>
<td>-0.253</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC242842.1</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCED1B-AS1</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DQB1-AS1</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC011374.2</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC00324</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGB2-AS1</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC018553.1</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC00520</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH-AS1</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>1.296</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: Hazard ratio.

DISCUSSION

As an aggressive tumor, melanoma has a poor prognosis and increasing incidence[11]. The main reason for the high mortality rate is late diagnosis[12]. Studies have found that the survival rate of melanoma patients with distant metastases was only 5%-10%[13]. Therefore, early detection and diagnosis of melanoma are crucial to improving the survival rate. At present, TNM staging is commonly used to evaluate the melanoma prognosis. However, some studies have found that TNM staging methods might predict different overall survival rates[14]. Increasing studies have shown that tumor TNM staging also has clinical limitations[15,16]. Therefore, new melanoma diagnosis and prognosis methods are required to improve melanoma patients’ prognosis and survival rate. The promotive and suppressive effects of autophagy on the development of tumors were observed by many previous studies[17,18]. In other words, autophagy is a dual process regarding tumors. For example, Mrgcditchian et al[19] reported that autophagy can recruit natural killer cell infiltration into tumor tissues, and subsequently reduce the melanoma growth in vivo[19]. Luan et al[20] showed that POL can downregulate miR1290, leading to BECN1 upregulation and enhanced autophagy, thereby reducing the survival of melanoma cells.
Figure 1 Co-expression network construction using 15 IncRNAs with Cytoscape. A: Cytoscape network; B: Sankey diagram for IncRNA visualization.
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G. Survival curve (P = 2.945e−04)
- AL133371.2 high expression
- AL133371.2 low expression

H. Survival curve (P = 1.969e−03)
- DBH-AS1 high expression
- DBH-AS1 low expression

I. Survival curve (P = 3.213e−05)
- HLA-DQB1-AS1 high expression
- HLA-DQB1-AS1 low expression

J. Survival curve (P = 4.593e−04)
- ITGB2-AS1 high expression
- ITGB2-AS1 low expression

K. Survival curve (P = 2.599e−05)
- LINC00324 high expression
- LINC00324 low expression

L. Survival curve (P = 4.506e−03)
- LINC00520 high expression
- LINC00520 low expression
However, Xiao et al.[21] showed that beclin-1 expression and LC3-II/I ratio were significantly increased by miR-24-1-5p. Meanwhile, they also observed the promotive effect of miR-24-1-5p on autophagy and subsequently inhibitory function in melanoma cell proliferation[21]. The studies listed above showed both positive and negative effects of autophagy on tumor development, and that autophagy plays a crucial role in this process.

Additionally, the roles of lncRNAs in the pathogenesis of different tumors have been identified by other researchers, including breast cancer, lung cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma[22,23]. In this study, we analyzed and identified 15 lncRNAs that can be related to melanoma survival and prognosis, and established a risk model for melanoma prognosis. We consulted many previous studies and found that only LINC00520 and DBH-AS1 have been linked to melanoma. USP30-AS1, PCED1B-AS1, LINC00324, ITGB2-AS1, LINC00234, LINC00520, USP30-AS1, PCED1B-AS1, LINC01943, AC004687.1, AL133371.2, AC011374.2, AC018553.1, AC242842.1, HLA-DQB1-AS1, DBH-AS1, IGF2-AS1, K: LINC00324, L: LINC00520, M: USP30-AS1, N: PCED1B-AS1, O: LINC01943, (Red and blue represent the high and low expression of the lncRNAs in melanoma patients, respectively).

Figure 2 Survival analysis of 15 autophagy-associated lncRNAs. A: AC004687; B: AC011374.2; C: AC018553.1; D: AC068282; E: AC090948.3; F: AC242842.1; G: AL133371.2; H: DBH-AS1; I: HLA-DQB1-AS1; J: ITGB2-AS1; K: LINC00324; L: LINC00520; M: USP30-AS1; N: PCED1B-AS1; O: LINC01943. (Red and blue represent the high and low expression of the lncRNAs in melanoma patients, respectively).
and limit apoptosis, working with the miR-194-5p/pCED1B axis[38]. pCED1B-AS1 can also induce hepatocellular carcinoma immunosuppression through PD-1 and PD-L1 regulation via sponging has-miR-1945p[39]. Other studies have shown that the lncRNA pCED1B-AS1 can also promote clear cell renal carcinoma and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma progression[40,41]. Important roles of the lncRNAs LINC00324 and A ITGB2-AS1 have been observed during different tumors progressions. For example, the proliferative and invasive capabilities of osteosarcoma were promoted by LINC00324 through WDR66 regulation. Also, the promotive effects of LNC00324 on papillary thyroid carcinoma progression were found, due to its regulatory effect on Notch-related pathways[42,43]. The lncRNA ITGB2-AS1 can upregulate ITGB2 and promote breast cancer cell migration and invasion. Besides, ITGB2-AS1 can also promote pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma growth and metastasis, acting on the miR-4319/RAF1 axis[44,45].

The mechanisms of the remaining nine lncRNAs identified in our study (LINC01943, AC090948.3, AC068282.1, AC004687.1, AL133371.2, AC242842.1, HLA-DQB1-AS1, AC011374.2, and AC018553.1) have not been yet reported and remain to be explored. Five of them, including PCED1B-AS1, ITGB2-AS1, AC018553.1, LINC00520, and DBH-AS1, were risk-related lncRNAs, and their increased expression levels suggested a poor prognosis. The remaining ten lncRNAs (LINC01943, AC090948.3, USP30-AS1, AC068282.1, AC004687.1, AL133371.2, AC242842.1, HLA-DQB1-AS1, AC011374.2, and LINC00324) were protective lncRNAs, and their elevated expression levels in melanoma patients predicted a good prognosis. The survival analysis (P = 2.287e - 14) suggested a significant difference between the survival rates.
The GSEA analysis revealed a difference in signaling pathway enrichment between the high- and low-risk melanoma patients. In low-risk populations, the main significantly enriched pathways were immune-related, such as antigen processing and presentation, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, systemic lupus erythematosus, and autoimmune thyroid disease. The high-risk populations were mainly enriched in metabolism-related pathways, such as glyoxylate and dicarboxylate signaling pathways. These results indicated that the immunity improvement might be related to melanoma patients’ prognosis improvement and that a poor prognosis can be associated with glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolic pathways.

Recently, increasing studies have explored the significance of lncRNAs in cell autophagy, and their functional effect in tumors have attracted researchers’ attention. However, the function, mechanisms, and value of these lncRNAs in melanoma prognosis remain unclear. Our current study proposed a new melanoma risk model composed of 15 lncRNAs involved in autophagy that can be helpful for future melanoma treatment and prognosis evaluation. However, our research also has limitations. Although the data and analyses used have been verified for their accuracy in different studies, our study was not verified experimentally. The molecular mechanism of autophagy-related lncRNAs has not yet been elucidated, and some lncRNAs have never even been reported in the literature. Therefore, further
CONCLUSION

Overall, we have identified and established a new prognostic risk model for melanoma using 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs: LINC01943, AC090948.3, USP30-AS1, AC068282.1, AC004687.1, AL133371.2, AC242842.1, PCED1B-AS1, HLA-DQB1-AS1, AC011374.2, LINC00324, ITGB2-AS1, AC018553.1, LINC00520, and DBH-AS1. The risk model constructed with these lncRNAs can help guide prognosis prediction and individualized treatment in melanoma patients in the future.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
At present, melanoma is mainly treated by surgical resection, but many patients have tumor metastasis. Patients with advanced melanoma have a very poor prognosis, so a new method is needed to predict and evaluate the prognosis and survival of patients. Autophagy, originally thought to be a process of lysosomal dependent degradation of cytoplasmic components in response to starvation, has been shown to influence multiple dynamic equilibria and to constitute a barrier against malignant transformation. However, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) involved in autophagy and their prognostic value have not been studied before, and many mechanisms remain unclear. Therefore, risk stratification of melanoma patients based on autophagy-associated lncRNAs combined with pathological classification is crucial to predict prognosis and treatment response.

Research motivation
The main purpose of this study was to identify autophagy lncRNAs associated with melanoma prognosis and establish a risk model to predict survival and prognosis. Among the 15 autophagy-related lncRNAs analyzed in this study, the mechanisms of action of some lncRNAs are still unclear and have not been reported in the literature, so further studies are needed to explore the role of these lncRNAs.
IncRNAs. The solution of this major problem will help us to have a deeper understanding of melanoma and make it possible to completely cure melanoma.

**Research objectives**
The main objective of this study was to establish a more accurate method for predicting and evaluating the prognosis and survival of melanoma patients.

**Research methods**
First, data from The Cancer Genome Atlas and GENE EXPRESSION OMNIBUS (GEO) databases were processed, and then R was used to analyze the correlation between autophagy-related genes and IncRNAs (correlation coefficient > 0.30; \( P < 0.001 \)), and a co-expression network was constructed. In order to evaluate the relationship between autophagy-related IncRNAs and melanoma prognosis, univariate proportional risk, Kaplan-Meier survival, and multivariate risk analyses were performed. R software was used to calculate the risk score of each patient, and the calculation formula is as follows: Risk score = `expR` (IncRNA1) × COEF (IncRNA1) + `expR` (IncRNA2) × COEF (IncRNA2) + ... + `expR` (IncRNA_n) × COEF (IncRNA_n). In order to assess the stability of risk models, univariate and multivariate regression analyses and receiver operating characteristic analyses were also performed. Finally, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis was used for functional annotation and GEO data was used for further validation to ensure the accuracy of the results.

**Research results**
Our current study proposed a new melanoma risk model composed of 15 IncRNAs involved in autophagy that can be helpful for future melanoma treatment and prognosis evaluation. However, our research also has limitations. Although the data and analyses used have been verified for their accuracy in different studies, our study was not verified experimentally. The molecular mechanisms of phagocytosis-related IncRNAs have not yet been elucidated, and some IncRNAs have never even been reported in the literature. Therefore, further experimental studies are required to verify our results.

**Research conclusions**
This study identified new and unreported IncRNAs through a series of analyses, which are closely related to the prognosis of melanoma patients, providing a new direction for future research on melanoma-related IncRNAs.

**Research perspectives**
In the future, we can conduct further experimental verification on these 15 IncRNAs to understand the specific mechanism of action and specific role of these 15 IncRNAs in melanoma.
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