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Dear Editor,
Thank you very much for your work in revising our paper submitted as an Editorial to World Journal of Gastroenterology.
**Title:** Understanding the Molecular Crossroads in Acute Liver Failure: A Pathway to New Therapies
**Authors:** Chun-Yao Cheng, Wen-Rui Hao, Tzu-Hurng Cheng
**Manuscript NO:** 93326

We would like to thank the Reviewer for providing comments regarding our manuscript.

**Peer-review report(s).** Authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript that are raised in the peer-review report(s) and provide point-by-point responses to each of the issues raised in the peer-review report(s):
**Reviewer #1:**
**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)
**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)
**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:**
The mechanism of acute liver failure is still not fully elucidated. Cheng CY et al. comment on the article titled “Silent information regulator sirtuin 1 ameliorates acute liver failure via the p53/glutathione peroxidase 4/gasdermin D axis” published in a recent issue of the World Journal of Gastroenterology. This editorial is worth publishing.

**Response:** Thank you for your thorough evaluation of our manuscript and your positive feedback. We are delighted to hear that you found the scientific quality to be excellent and the language quality to be suitable for priority publishing. Regarding your comment on the mechanism of acute liver failure, we appreciate the reference to the editorial by Cheng CY et al. and agree that it provides valuable insights into this area of research. We are grateful for your recommendation to accept our manuscript for publication, with general priority. Your endorsement gives us confidence in the relevance and significance of our work. Once again, thank you for your constructive comments and support throughout the review process.
**Editorial Office’s comments.** Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office’s comments and suggestions, which are provided below:

**1 Science Editor:**

1 **Scientific quality:** The authors submitted an editorial of understanding the molecular crossroads in acute liver failure.

   (1) **Classification:** Grade A;

   (2) **Summary of the Peer-Review Report:** The mechanism of acute liver failure is still not fully elucidated;

   (3) **References recommendations:** The reviewer didn’t request the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself.

   (4) **Manuscript Type:** After verification, the manuscript type is "Editorial".

2 **Specific comments**

   (1) **Country/Territory of origin:** Taiwan.


3 **Recommendation:** Conditional acceptance.

**Response:** Thank you for the comprehensive evaluation of our editorial manuscript titled "Understanding the Molecular Crossroads in Acute Liver Failure." We appreciate the detailed breakdown of the assessment criteria and specific comments provided. We are pleased to learn that the scientific quality of our editorial has been classified as Grade A, reflecting its excellence in addressing the complex mechanisms of acute liver failure. Your acknowledgment of the clarity and quality of the language also reaffirms our efforts to ensure readability and accessibility. Regarding the reviewer's summary and reference recommendations, we duly note the importance of elucidating the mechanism of acute liver failure, and we ensure appropriate referencing, including the WJG article discussed in our editorial. We are honored to receive the recommendation for conditional acceptance, and we are committed to addressing any remaining concerns or suggestions during the revision process.
Once again, we express our gratitude for your thorough assessment and constructive feedback. We look forward to working closely with you to further refine our manuscript.

(2) Company Editor-in-Chief:
I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Response: Thank you for your email and for the update regarding the status of our manuscript. We appreciate your thorough review of the Peer-Review Report and the full text of the manuscript. We are pleased to receive the news of the manuscript's conditional acceptance for publication in the World Journal of Gastroenterology. We acknowledge the importance of revising the manuscript in accordance with the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments, and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. We have addressed all the feedback and suggestions provided and ensure that the revised manuscript meets the high standards set by the journal. Thank you once again for considering our work for publication, and we look forward to the opportunity to submit the revised version.
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Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in World Journal of Gastroenterology.
Yours sincerely,
Tzu-Hurng Cheng