Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: Authors of this 'Letter to the Editor' have strong and valid points regarding the original work. Two small issues had to be fixed: 1. In the abstract, the abbreviation Pemt should be resolved. 2. "so that subsequent workers can replicate" --> so that subsequent works can replicate.
Response: pemt refer to “Phosphatidylethanolamine N-methyltransferase”. And we also revised the sentence "so that subsequent workers can replicate" to “so that subsequent works can replicate”
Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: This “letter to the editor” supplemented the ongoing discussion the effects of Fuzhuan brick tea (FBT) on metabolism in a mouse model of obesity induced by a high-fat diet. Authors mainly make comments about: 1 Explore the relationship between inflammatory factors and Pemt to strengthen their results and improve the study credibility; 2 Only using male mice may cause sex-biased; 3 Consider adding a transition period in the experimental design to avoid overlapping or interference between the effects of antibiotics and FBT. The comments in this letter are objective and serious. Due to the author want to debate and hope that the initial authors will reply, so the title should use “comments on …” or more sharp view.
Response: we delete the sentence” We would like to contribute to the debate and hope that the authors will reply.” Thus, we only want express my opinion on this topic.