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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Manuscript No.: 74300 Title: The clinical characteristics of pediatric patients with treatment-refractory Tourette syndrome: An evidence-based survey in a Chinese sample
In this study, the author has studied “The clinical characteristics of pediatric patients with treatment-refractory Tourette syndrome: An evidence-based survey in a Chinese sample.” The topic is interesting, but the English language used in the manuscript needs major improvements as there are some punctuation and grammatical mistakes present throughout the manuscript. The figures required the proper explanation and caption. The discussion part is not up to the mark, and no significant comparison is made. Most of the references are from low-impact journals. It is obvious that the quality of the manuscript fulfills the standards of the journal; therefore, it should be accepted after minor revision. Specific comments: 1. Please revise the abstract and try to limit the 250 words. 2. Please add more strong keywords. 3. Line 88-89: “Tourette syndrome (TS) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition marked by tics, as well as a variety of psychiatric comorbidities.” Please add a few examples of psychiatric comorbidities. 4. Line 91-92: “Some patients with TS fail to respond to traditional treatment.” Please add the name of traditional treatments. 5. Line 234: Please revise the ‘INSERT Figure 1’. 6. Line 250: Please revise the ‘INSERT Table 1’. 7. Line 256: Please revise the ‘INSERT Figure 2’. 8. The discussion section is not up to the mark. The discussion section needs extensive revision. 9. Authors are advised to proofread the whole manuscript to overcome grammatical mistakes. 10. The figures need proper interpretation and appropriate captions, and proper labeling. 11. Please revise the references according to the journal instructions.
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## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I generally liked the manuscript. I cannot find a current similar study amongst the references: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2020.589511/full](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2020.589511/full), please add and discuss.