

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



January 14, 2015

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 15585-review.doc).

Title: Current understanding and management of aggressive retinopathy of prematurity

Author: Christine M Pulido, Polly A Quiram

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Ophthalmology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 15585

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

00505215 - Please provide a clean copy of the manuscript. What I see is a mess and can not be reviewed to decide its acceptance. Is it a case report or a full length article. Either way, please clarify since I see a Case Report in there.

Thank you for your thoughtful comments. The manuscript has been submitted as a clean copy. In order to demonstrate the complex pathology and decision making regarding treatment in severe cases of APROP, a case has been included.

00505265- Authors have provided a well researched, writtenu dn presented review. There are some minor typographic errors that need correcting.

Thank you for your comments and favorable review. Multiple typographical errors have been corrected.

00505101 - 1. Other indicators of plus disease include engorgement of the iris vessels, vitreous haze, and papillary rigidity “Papillary rigidity “is to be changed into “pupillary rigidity” 2. Figure 1. Previous 24 week infant status post bevacizumab injection a for APROP. Authors are requested to add the pre bevacizumab photograph of the same APROP infant. 3. Authors need to submit the edited version of the article for the review than in the word document with tracking comments. 4. Article needs english editing.

Thank you for your comments. Multiple typographical errors have been corrected and a clean version has been submitted. Figure 1 has been added to demonstrate the infant pre IVB injection. The new figure demonstrates zone 1, stage 2 disease with preplus which rapidly progressed to stage 3 disease with plus within 1 week. The photos with Stage 3 disease are unfortunately not available.

00505045 - The authors reviewed the literature about the aggressive posterior ROP with a representative case. This is a nice review with quite reasonable length. 1. In the beginning of the manuscript, the authors are explaining the terminology of the ROP with very simple definitions. In this section it is good to explain also the term of type 1 ROP. Because it is given later in the manuscript. 2. The manuscript I read was a draft version and the authors should send the final amended version.

Thank you for your favorable comments. Terminology describing type 1 and type 2 ROP has been added to the manuscript. Multiple typographical errors have been corrected and a clean copy has been submitted.

Polly A Quiram, MD, PhD

Vitreoretinal Surgery, P.A.

7760 France Ave S, Suite 310

Minneapolis, MN 55435

pollyquiram@yahoo.com