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Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to review your manuscript. Its well written easy to read review; the data is very well presented. Kindly;
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear Authors Thank you very much for your effort and submission of such review article. Regarding the aim of the study, there is some confusion between management (which means both diagnosis and treatment) and diagnosis (which is diagnosis only). In your aim, you mentioned: The aim of our study was to extensively review the current evidence with regard to the role of MDCT in the management of acute NVUGIB. It is not management, it should be diagnosis only. So, his needs to be corrected in many parts which mentioned management. It is better if your article includes one or more images to how the value of diagnostic role of MDCT in localisation of rare causes of NVUGIB.