Dear editors and Reviewers,

We thank you for your careful consideration of our manuscript. We appreciate your response and overall positive initial feedback. We again have revised the paper, and would like to re-submit it for your consideration. We have addressed the comments raised by the reviewers and editors, and the amendments are highlighted in black in the revised manuscript. This manuscript has been performed further language polishing once again by AJE editing company to ensure all related errors be resolved. We hope that the revision is acceptable, and I look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yan Lu

Department of Neonatology, Affiliated Hangzhou First People’s Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310003, Zhejiang Province, China. No. 261 Huansha Road, Hangzhou City, Zhejiang 310002, China; Fax numbers: +86057056005600, E-mail: oqwering@163.com

Reviewer #1:
Specific Comments to Authors: Dear Authors, The manuscript entitled "Neonatal hemorrhage stroke and severe coagulopathy in a late preterm infant after receiving umbilical cord milking: a case report" represents a work of great value. In my opinion only some minor changes must be performed to the main manuscript, in order to be further proceeded.

1) Please check the entire manuscript for language or grammar errors and revise it accordingly.
   We feel very sorry for our so poor English writing. Therefore, we have checked language once more by AJE editing company and corrected the errors before re-submission.

2) In the introduction section, the authors should give more information regarding the umbilical cord milking. Also, the authors are encouraged to give the pros and cons of the uc milking and placenta transfussion. In this way, the main topic of the manuscript can be more understandable for the readers.
   Thank you for your suggestion, we are sorry for our little information given in the introduction section about umbilical cord milking. We have revised the article as suggested in the introduction section.

3) In the section History of present illness, lines 106-128. Please clearly indicate the volume of the infused RBCs, FFP and platelets.
   Thank you for your suggestion, and the necessary corrections have been made in the revised version.

4) Please follow the author's guidelines and revise appropriately the references.
   We apologized for the wrong styles of references, and revised them in the new version

5) Also in Figure 1 and 2, please mark up each figure.
   We apologize for the error, and the correction has been made in the revised manuscript. The pictures have been rearranged according to the PPT format requirements and uploaded to the system.
Reviewer #2:
Specific Comments to Authors: Dear Authors very compliments for this case report. Please describe whether preterm birth could affect the bleeding and if there are risk factors in the mother that could have induced the bleeding.

Thank you for reminding us that both neonatal and maternal factors can be etiologies of neonatal hemorrhage stroke. So, we have added relevant content to the discussion, hoping to meet your requirements.

Science editor:
The manuscript describes a case of neonatal hemorrhage stroke and severe coagulopathy after receiving umbilical cord milking (UCM) in a female late preterm infant small for her gestational age. Please describe whether preterm birth could affect the bleeding and if there are risk factors in the mother that could have induced the bleeding. Please show more information regarding the umbilical cord milking in Introduction, Table 1 please use a three-line meter to display, please indicate the subtitle of the Figure.

Dear editor, according to your suggestion, we have revised the part of introduction and discussion section. we have also revised our table in the new version.

Company editor-in-chief:
I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

Dear editor, we are sorry for the mistakes. According to your request, the pictures have been rearranged according to the PPT format requirements and uploaded to the system. We have also revised the table to a three-line meter display and upload the approval document.