PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases
Manuscript NO: 89557
Title: Adult sigmoid intussusception resembling rectal prolapse: case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed
Peer-review model: Single blind
Reviewers code: 06010157
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD
Professional title: Professor
Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Iran
Author’s Country/Territory: Taiwan
Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-05
Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique
Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-12 07:30
Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-20 11:27
Review time: 8 Days and 3 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>Grade C: Good</th>
<th>Grade D: Fair</th>
<th>Grade E: Do not publish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Novelty of this manuscript</td>
<td>Grade A: Excellent</td>
<td>Grade B: Good</td>
<td>Grade C: Fair</td>
<td>Grade D: No novelty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</td>
<td>Grade A: Excellent</td>
<td>Grade B: Good</td>
<td>Grade C: Fair</td>
<td>Grade D: No creativity or innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

this case report is ok to be published.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) Overall goal of the manuscript
The overall goal of this manuscript is to review cases and previous publications of rectal prolapse, while describing a case of sigmoid cancer causing rectal prolapse.

2) Overall importance of the work to the field of surgery
This article is of low to moderate importance. The review of previous publications may serve as a good source of education.

3) Mechanics of the writing
The writing mechanic is full of grammatical and typographical errors. Below, please find some examples from the manuscript:
- Consider changing smoke to tobacco use.
- “with laxative drug prescription” needs to be changed to “and a laxative was prescribed”.
- Colonoscopy was arranged “for”.
- However, the “colonoscopy could not be inserted beyond” “Sigmoid cancer was impressed”.
- No defecation needs to be changed to obstipation.
- Pulse rate of 113 is not within ‘normal limits’.
- “after reduction two days later due to unwilling for operation but the procedure was unable” “Surgical approach was taken”.
- Why was transverse colostomy was performed? Wide type? Did you mean KRAS Wild Type. The authors need to review their manuscript and perhaps make use of a professional writer prior to resubmitting this.

4) Experimental design & Statistical plan
The study is structured as a case presentation with review of articles. It does not have an experimental design. 5) Figures, tables and images The images are detailed and clear to view. The table is easy to understand.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Thank you for resending your manuscript with the requested changes. I am finding this phrase "+ADw-html+AD4APA-p+AD4-A " at the beginning and end of several paragraphs. This needs to be corrected but otherwise the manuscript is good.