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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
It seems to me that the manuscript is well substantiated, and well organized, and worthy to be presented to WJG audience as soon as possible.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors,

You can find my comments below.

1. There are so much spelling mistakes in the manuscript. The article should be reconsidered from this point of view.

2. In the physical examination part, lung involvement can also be wondered, it would be good to provide information in this respect.

3. Abbreviations are not included under the table. These should be added.

4. References should be written in accordance with spelling rules.

5. Although such cases were rare from 1989 to 2021, it is seen that the same sentences are used in the discussion part as other case presentations. In this respect, the discussion part should be re-evaluated and the authors’ own comments and sentences should be used.