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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Summary Zyoud et al. performed bibliometric analysis showing global research landscape on nutrition and the gut microbiome. Although the authors showed a landscape and research trends on nutrition and gut microbiome, there are some major points to be revised. Major points 1) MATERIALS AND METHODS; Considering the large heterogeneity of nutrition and the gut microbiome, the authors should show the ultimate aims and goals of this research (Santos et al. Scientometrics. 2022 Jan 16;1-34). 2) MATERIALS AND METHODS- Bibliometric analysis; There is a possibility that certain publication, and/or data collecting biases are existing in this manuscript. The authors should show that there are no biases shown above (Ono et al. Biomedicines. 2021 May 20;9(5):582). 3) RESULTS- Number of publications and evolution over time; The authors showed that 78.52% of research articles and 15.25% of reviews were included in this study. However, it seems that there are also some clinical trials and case series/case reports should be included (Johnstone et al. Nutrients. 2021 Dec 8;13(12):4384; Kageyama et al. Nutrients. 2021 Dec 14;13(12):4466.). Also, the author should show the numbers or percentage of the clinical/basic study included in this analysis. 4) RESULTS- Journal analysis; In this section, the authors showed the active journals lists from 2012-2021. However, it is not always adequate that the number of publication reflects the activity of the journal. I recommend that the journal lists should be corrected and stratified by the journal impact factor or other journal quality indicators (Santos et al. Scientometrics. 2022 Jan 16;1-34).
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Reviewer comments for the World Journal of Gastroenterology-75215 The authors described the overview of the research studies in recent ten years regarding nutrition and gut microbiota worldwide. It is an interesting and meaningful review study. However, some small issues should be revised, detailed as follows: 1. Please do not use “microbiota” and “microbiome” indiscriminately. In fact, the meaning of “microbiota” and “microbiome” is not identical. Generally, microbiota refers to the community of micro-organisms themselves. Microbiome refers to the collective genomes of the micro-organisms in a particular environment. 2. In figure 2, the country name of the United States is missing. 3. In table 2, the institute of Ranking 1st and 2nd seems error. Although many labs and institutes from different universities are authenticated by the “Ministry of Education” or “Ministry of Agriculture of China”, the “Ministry of Education China” and “Ministry of Agriculture of China” are only administrative organizations, not an academy.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors want to overview the research publications about the nutrition and gut microbiota, ongoing study stage in this filed. The topic is fine. However, the methods should be expanded at least three databases should be used in the manuscript, such as Web of Science and Google Scholar. Figure 2 includes some cities such as Hong Kong should be changed and other relative calculations. The current work provides an overview … and to determine > determines.