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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Thank you for inviting me to review this interesting work. The extensive and exhaustive evaluation of lifestyle, metabolic, and body composition work up are appreciated. Nonetheless, I have some comments as follow: 1. The introduction part is somewhat lengthy, I suggest to wrap it up or rewrite it into no longer than 3-4 paragraphs. 2. In the methodology section, 2.1 it is not clear whether all patients underwent USG and liver biopsy, or just some 2.2 what is the time difference between USG, liver biopsy, laboratory evaluation, and DXA, as well as the interview? As hepatic steatosis might change overtime according to lifestyle intervention, providing the time frame between the DXA and USG/liver biopsy test is important. 3. the definition of MAFLD no longer exclude alcohol drinking, why do you have to exclude 3 patients from the analysis?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
In general, patients with decompensated cirrhosis often have low muscle mass. Therefore, I read this manuscript with novelty. Using statistical research methods, this manuscript has brought me some new insights, although this finding needs to be further confirmed by mechanistic studies. According to the way of thinking of clinicians, I think that in this study the number of patients with cirrhosis included is small, and patients with decompensated cirrhosis are not included. I look forward to a study comparing the low muscle mass of three groups of patients, namely CHB patients, compensated cirrhosis patients, and decompensated cirrhosis patients.