Thank you for your letter and comments concerning our manuscript. The comments were very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript, as well as for offering important guidance for our research. We have carefully addressed all the comments in our revised manuscript. We have not listed below all minor changes made; however, these are marked in yellow in the revised manuscript. Please note that the changes made do not influence the content, conclusions, or framework of the paper. We hope these revisions have adequately addressed any concerns.

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

The limitations are well pointed out, but even within the same institution, the results could have been different depending on the competence of the endoscopists performing the procedure.

Response: As you noted, different endoscopic operators will get different results, which is inevitable. Even though the endoscopic operators in our center are all skilled endoscopists, we hope to conduct a multi-center study with a large sample size for verification in the future.

Also, in the conclusion, DM was used as a significant predictor, but in the
table, the calculation guidance should have been 50\%, but it was incorrectly shown as 0.5, so it will be important to check if it is actually correct.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Accordingly, 0.5 has been corrected to 50.