Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you very much for your careful review and constructive suggestions to our manuscript “Repeated bacteremia and hepatic cyst infection lasting 3 years following pancreateoduodenectomy: A case report” Manuscript NO: 77364. Those comments are helpful for us to revise and improve this article. We have revised and improved the manuscript according to the Editors/Reviewers’ comments.

The main corrections in the paper and the replies to the reviewer’s comments are as follows:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Good case report. Is this an isolated condition or many cases are being reported in your centre?

Reply:

Thank you very much for your comments. We are very glad that our manuscript was approved. Liver cyst infection is not very common. This is an isolated condition in our center.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Firstly, thank you for opportunity to review very interested article. I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style due to not native language. 1. The title reflect the main subject about bacteremia and hepatic cyst infection, title was clear and easy to understand. 2. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. 3. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status, and
significance of the study. The authors explain about hepatic cysts. After that, history, physical exam and laboratory was described. 5. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically. 6. Tables and figures sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. 7. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important, and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. However, some of references were incorrect style for this journal.

Reply:

Thank you very much for your comments. After reading the guidelines and requirements carefully, we found that references and some typesetting were incorrect style for this journal. We have adjusted it and performed further language polishing through AJE. I'm sorry that I didn't find the DOI number of references No. 9.