



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

Manuscript NO: 67983

Title: Efficacy and Safety of Recombinant Human Erythropoietin (Hema-Plus®) for Management of Anemia in Thai Patients on Peritoneal Dialysis

Reviewer's code: 05946247

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-10 14:15

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-13 16:19

Review time: 3 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This interesting, clear, statistically sound and well-written Prospective Study, Efficacy and Safety of Recombinant Human Erythropoietin (Hema-Plus®) for Management of Anemia in Thai Patients on Peritoneal Dialysis. I have some minor observations to increase quality of the manuscript. 1. Typographical errors: Page 9 paragraph 1 line 2 patents instead of patients Page 11 paragraph 1 line 1 and 5, what do you mean by AEs and SAEs? First notations should be written fully Page 12 paragraph 1 line 6 and 7, paragraph 2, line 12 review 2. How did you control your co-founders? 3. Discussion is not enough