World Journal of *Orthopedics*

World J Orthop 2024 August 18; 15(8): 683-827





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJ D

World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 18, 2024

EDITORIAL

683 Total hip arthroplasty for sequelae of childhood hip disorders: Current review of management to achieve hip centre restoration

Oommen AT

696 Offset restoration in total hip arthroplasty: Important: A current review

Oommen AT

MINIREVIEWS

704 Intra-articular interventions in osteoarthritis: Navigating the landscape of hyaluronic acid, mesenchymal stem cells, and platelet-rich plasma

Soufan S, Al Khoury J, Hamdan Z, Rida MA

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

- 713 Readmission rate and early complications in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: A retrospective study Jethi T, Jain D, Garg R, Selhi HS
- 722 Native and prosthetic septic arthritis in a university hospital in Saudi Arabia: A retrospective study Kaki R

Observational Study

- 734 Factors that influence the results of indirect decompression employing oblique lumbar interbody fusion Bokov AE, Kalinina SY, Khaltyrov MI, Saifullin AP, Bulkin AA
- Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Effect of graft tunnel position on early to mid-term clinical 744 outcomes

Mann O, Al-Dadah O

Three-dimensional analysis of age and sex differences in femoral head asphericity in asymptomatic hips in 754 the United States

Hassan MM, Feroe AG, Douglass BW, Jimenez AE, Kuhns B, Mitchell CF, Parisien RL, Maranho DA, Novais EN, Kim YJ, Kiapour AM

764 Coronal plane stability of cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty in valgus gonarthrosis patients: A midterm evaluation using stress radiographs

Chaiyakit P, Wattanapreechanon P



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 18, 2024

Prospective Study

773 Blood metal concentrations and cardiac structure and function in total joint arthroplasty patients Brennan PC, Peterson SM, O'Byrne TJ, Laporta ML, Wyles CC, Jannetto PJ, Kane GC, Vassilaki M, Maradit Kremers H

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Clinical effect of operative vs nonoperative treatment on humeral shaft fractures: Systematic review and 783 meta-analysis of clinical trials

Li Y, Luo Y, Peng J, Fan J, Long XT

META-ANALYSIS

796 Comparative efficacy of proximal femoral nail vs dynamic condylar screw in treating unstable intertrochanteric fractures

Yousif Mohamed AM, Salih M, Abdulgadir M, Abbas AE, Lutfi Turjuman D

CASE REPORT

807 Neglected congenital bilateral knee dislocation treated by quadricepsplasty with semitendinosus and sartorius transfer: A case report

Qasim OM, Abdulaziz AA, Aljabri NK, Albaqami KS, Suqaty RM

- 813 Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis triggered by relapsing polychondritis: A case report Han MR, Hwang JH, Cha S, Jeon SY, Jang KY, Kim N, Lee CH
- 820 Needle arthroscopic-assisted repair of tibio-fibular syndesmosis acute injury: A case report Wojtowicz BG, Domzalski M, Lesman J



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 18, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Orthopedics, Peng-Cheng Liu, MD, PhD, Doctor, Department of Orthopaedics, Shanghai Eighth People's Hospital, Shanghai 200235, China. orthopaedics_dsyy@163.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Orthopedics (WJO, World J Orthop) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of orthopedics with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of orthopedics and covering a wide range of topics including arthroscopy, bone trauma, bone tumors, hand and foot surgery, joint surgery, orthopedic trauma, osteoarthropathy, osteoporosis, pediatric orthopedics, spinal diseases, spine surgery, and sports medicine.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

WJO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJO as 2.0; JIF Quartile: Q2. The WJO's CiteScore for 2023 is 3.1.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Qing Zhao; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Cover Editor: Jin-Lei Wang,

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Orthopedics	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 2218-5836 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
November 18, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Massimiliano Leigheb, Xiao-Jian Ye	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS	
Xin Gu	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS	
August 18, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE	
The Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery Research Center Of Shanghai Jiaotong University	https://www.shtrhospital.com/zkjs/info_29.aspx?itemid=647	

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

World Journal of Orthopedics

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Orthop 2024 August 18; 15(8): 704-712

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v15.i8.704

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

Intra-articular interventions in osteoarthritis: Navigating the landscape of hyaluronic acid, mesenchymal stem cells, and plateletrich plasma

Somar Soufan, Joenne Al Khoury, Zahi Hamdan, Mohamad Ali Rida

Specialty type: Orthopedics

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification Scientific Quality: Grade A Novelty: Grade A Creativity or Innovation: Grade A Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Zhou X

Received: April 25, 2024 Revised: July 13, 2024 Accepted: July 29, 2024 Published online: August 18, 2024 Processing time: 109 Days and 14.1 Hours



Somar Soufan, Joenne Al Khoury, Zahi Hamdan, Department of Medicine, University of Balamand, Beirut 00000, Lebanon

Mohamad Ali Rida, Department of Internal Medicine, Clemenceau Medical Center, Beirut 12345, Lebanon

Corresponding author: Mohamad Ali Rida, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Clemenceau Medical Center, Clemenceau, Beirut 12345, Lebanon. mohamad.ali.rida@gmail.com

Abstract

Osteoarthritis (OA) poses a substantial burden on patients, leading to pain, functional decline, and reduced quality of life. While conventional treatments focus on symptom management, disease-modifying interventions are yet to be established. This review explores the efficacy of intra-articular interventions, particularly hyaluronic acid (HA), mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and platelet-rich plasma (PRP), in the context of OA management. HA injections, with diverse formulations like Hylan G-F20, sodium hyaluronate, and hyaluronan, present varying outcomes, necessitating a nuanced understanding of their effectiveness and timing. MSC therapy, derived from adipose tissue, umbilical cord, or bone marrow, shows promising results in clinical improvement, with adipose-derived MSCs demonstrating efficacy in maintaining benefits over 6 mo. Conversely, bone-marrow-derived MSCs show limited effectiveness, highlighting the need for sourcespecific considerations. PRP has emerged as a superior option for long-term pain reduction and quality of life improvement, with leukocyte-poor formulations and a critical platelet count of 10 billion demonstrating optimal results. This comprehensive analysis underscores the potential of intra-articular interventions in OA management, emphasizing the need for personalized and evidence-based approaches to enhance treatment efficacy and patient outcomes.

Key Words: Osteoarthritis, Viscosupplementation; Platelets; Hyaluronic acid; Stem cell

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Raisbideng® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Core tip: Osteoarthritis significantly affects patients by causing pain, functional decline, and reduced quality of life. Traditional treatments mainly manage symptoms, with no disease-modifying interventions established. This review examines intra-articular treatments, including hyaluronic acid (HA), mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and platelet-rich plasma (PRP). HA injections show varied outcomes, requiring detailed understanding. MSCs, especially adipose-derived MSCs, demonstrate sustained benefits over 6 mo, while bone-marrow-derived MSCs are less effective. PRP is effective for long-term pain relief and quality of life improvement. Personalized, evidence-based approaches are crucial to enhance treatment efficacy and patient outcomes.

Citation: Soufan S, Al Khoury J, Hamdan Z, Rida MA. Intra-articular interventions in osteoarthritis: Navigating the landscape of hyaluronic acid, mesenchymal stem cells, and platelet-rich plasma. *World J Orthop* 2024; 15(8): 704-712 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v15/i8/704.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v15.i8.704

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint disorder and the leading cause of disability in older people. Approximately 10% of men and 13% of women over the age of 60 years suffer from OA[1]. These numbers are likely to increase due to the rise in the aging population and obesity rates. It is a degenerative disorder with inflammatory components affecting the entire joint including bone, cartilage, ligaments, fat, and the synovium. The pathophysiology of the disease is complex but can be understood as degradation of articular cartilage and bone remodeling due to the activity of chondrocytes in the cartilage and inflammatory cells in the surrounding tissues. Due to several factors, collagen and proteoglycans are destroyed by enzymes. This exposes the underlying subchondral bone and results in sclerosis. Over time, the joint space is progressively lost. Joint stiffness and pain upon activity lead to functional decline and a decrease in quality of life.

Risk factors for OA include age, female gender, obesity, diabetes, systemic inflammatory diseases, lower limb malalignment (genu valgum and genu varum), trauma, and excessive joint stress[2]. Symptoms of OA include pain and stiffness in joints worsened by activity. Also, pain and stiffness can occur after prolonged rest, known as gelling, and are typically relieved in 30 min. OA can also lead to reduced range of motion in the affected joint, and crepitus. Advanced joint damage may lead to joint deformity: Bouchard nodes (swelling of Proximal interphalangeal joints) or Heberden nodes (swelling of Distal interphalangeal joints) in the hands, and genu varum malalignment in the knees. The diagnosis of OA is based on the clinical features of the disease but can be supported by radiographic findings. Signs on X-rays include marginal osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, localized joint space narrowing, and cysts formation[3].

Many pharmacological treatments are used to alleviate symptoms of OA[4]. Analgesics like acetaminophen and opioids act as pain relievers. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the most used drugs to decrease pain and inflammation, and include aspirin, ibuprofen, diclofenac, naproxen and celecoxib. Other options include the antidepressant duloxetine and the anti-seizure drug pregabalin. These are US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved medications for the treatment of OA pain. Intra-articular pharmacological therapy is another treatment option in the management of OA. Intra-articular drug delivery might be ideal for OA treatment as it delivers the concentrated therapeutic dose throughout the joint capsule[5]. This allows a more direct effect on the joint due to increased bioavailability and less systemic exposure, leading to fewer side effects. It is important to note that intra-articular injections have a strong placebo effect, and some OA symptoms are simply responsive to intra-articular placebo. Corticosteroids, hyaluronic acid (HA), platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and cell-based therapies like mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are examples of intra-articular injections used in the treatment of OA. In this article, we tackle the use of HA, PRP and MSCs. We critically assess the evidence behind their application and evaluate their effectiveness *versus* placebo.

INTRA-ARTICULAR HA INJECTIONS

Viscosupplementation or intra-articular HA (IA-HA) injections have become widely used in clinical practice for knee OA pain relief. Various preparations are commercially available like injections of hyaluronan, sodium hyaluronate and hylan polymers A and B. The FDA has approved the use of HA for pain relief in patients with mild to moderate OA of the knees, who have not responded to conservative nonpharmacological measures and/or analgesics[6]. Contradictory evidence on the use of HA is still present, and recommendations for its clinical application remain inconclusive.

EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT HA PREPARATIONS

A diverse array of HA derivatives is currently in use for the management of knee OA, but there is a notable lack of consensus regarding the clinical superiority of any specific agent over others.

Zaishidene® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Hvlan G-F20

Hylan G-F20 is a crosslinked HA product derived from rooster comb HA, with a high molecular weight of 6000 kDa. The crosslinking of this formulation enhances its durability by rendering it more resistant to degradation within the knee joint, thereby extending its effectiveness over time. Its high molecular weight imparts elastoviscosity, which contributes to its pain-relieving effects in knee OA[7]. Several studies have investigated the effectiveness of Hylan G-F20 in knee OA.

The LOBRAS study is a 52-week observational study evaluating the effectiveness of a single injection of Hylan G-F20. It found significant improvement ($P \le 0.025$) in pain relief and function in patients with knee OA[8]. Additionally, total knee replacement was delayed for > 7 years after injection with Hylan G-F20 in 75% of 1863 patients with grade IV knee OA[9]. It is important to note that an increase in knee synovitis was found after repeat injections of Hylan G-F20. The limitation of both these studies was the lack of a control group or blinding. Nonetheless, they offer an outlook on the effectiveness of this type of HA injection in treating the symptoms of knee OA and delaying the need for total knee replacement.

Sodium hyaluronate

Sodium hyaluronate is a salt form of HA. When used as a viscosupplement in treating knee OA, it acts as a lubricant and shock absorber. Supartz (sodium hyalurnate) was the first IA-HA product to receive worldwide approval, with the longest history of global utilization. Large randomized controlled trials have shown that a single treatment cycle of Supartz, comprising 3-5 weekly injections, leads to a significant reduction in pain and a notable improvement in subjective function among patients experiencing mild to moderate symptomatic knee OA compared to a placebo (64% vs 31%, *P* < 0.001)[10].

Hyaluronan

Hyaluronan is a high-molecular-weight extracellular matrix polysaccharide and a derivative of HA. Its viscoelastic properties drive its use for knee injection to alleviate the symptoms of OA. Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have evaluated intra-articular hyaluronan therapy versus placebo. Richardson et al[11] concluded that the clinical benefits associated with hyaluronan injection were not significant compared to the placebo. This conclusion indicates the inferiority of hyaluronan preparation compared to other HA preparations. However, this hypothesis can only be proven through head-to-head comparison between these different HA derivatives.

After discussing the effectiveness of several HA derivatives in the treatment of OA-related symptoms, it is important to mention that current guidelines are still inconclusive concerning the use of viscosupplemetation for knee OA. Guidelines may change as new data emerges supporting the use of HA injections.

TIMING AND DURATION OF INJECTIONS

The timing and duration of injections are two factors that affect the efficacy of IA-HA. When investigating the best treatment protocol in term of efficacy and injection-related side effects, it was found that single injections of IA-HA did not show significant effectiveness compared to saline injections at 3 and 6 mo follow-up. Two to four injections demonstrated the best results at 3 and 6 mo follow-up. At least five injections conveyed a significant improvement in pain only at 6 mo, and they were associated with a higher risk of injection-related side effects when compared to intra-articular normal saline (NS). This increased risk of side effects was not observed within the one and 2-4 injection subgroups[12].

One study found that pain relief was noted after 3-weekly Hylan G-F20 injections and functional improvement was noted after 8 wk (P < 0.05) compared to the placebo group[13]. Two randomized clinical trials found that 5-weekly injections of Hyalgan (sodium hyaluronate) provided sustained pain relief and improvement in function when compared to a placebo on the visual analog scale (VAS) after a 50-foot walking test at week 25[14,15].

The presence of the different subtypes of HA preparations is a variable that should be taken into consideration when analyzing the effectiveness of a specific regimen and treatment-related side effects. These findings suggest that future guidelines should consider the injection regimen for different types of IA-HA treatments, allowing for a more tailored approach to treatment recommendations for knee OA.

SAFETY PROFILE OF IA-HA INJECTIONS

Several studies have assessed the safety profile of IA-HA injections. Side effects were slightly more frequent among patients receiving IA-HA treatment compared to placebo[16]. Side effects included mild transient local reactions such as swelling and pain at the site of injection. IA-HA also showed a small risk of flares and effusion at the injected knee. In comparison with other OA therapies such as acetaminophen or oral NSAIDs, IA-HA was shown to exhibit fewer systemic side effects but more local reactions. In addition, withdrawal due to side effects was less common in patients receiving IA-HA compared to patients receiving acetaminophen or oral NSAIDs. Since OA is primarily a disease of older people, who often have multiple comorbidities, IA-HA offers a treatment option when conventional therapies fail to be tolerated by this group of patients.

Although certain studies have shown that viscosupplementation is effective in relieving arthritis symptoms, it is important to note that there is currently no substantiated evidence supporting its ability to reverse the arthritic process or stimulate cartilage regeneration. The efficacy of viscosupplementation in the treatment of arthritis remains a matter of



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

ongoing investigation. Continuous studies are being conducted to explore the long-term effects and potential benefits of viscosupplementation in arthritis management.

STEM CELLS

Mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) therapy is a new form of medical treatment where cells are derived from many different sources, with each source possibly having a different efficacy and safety in the treatment of OA. Therefore, it is important to prove the efficacy and safety of SC therapy and to compare and contrast the different sources and explore the different doses and regimens that will provide the patients with the best possible outcomes.

Adipose-tissue-derived MSCs

One type of MSCs that can be used for OA treatment is adipose-tissue-derived MSCs (AD-MSCs). A study was conducted to assess the efficacy and safety of a single intra-articular injection of AD-MSCs for patients with knee OA[17]. Results showed clinical improvement at 6 mo with a significant reduction in the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis index (WOMAC) and VAS scores (P < 0.001) but no severe adverse events. These findings suggest that a single intra-articular AD-MSC injection can maintain clinical improvements for > 6 mo. A second study that used AD-MSCs was conducted in China to assess their efficacy and safety in comparison to HA in patients with symptomatic knee OA[18]. Clinical results for the AD-MSCs and HA groups 6 mo after injection showed significant improvement in the WOMAC score with an improvement rate of 31.65% (P = 0.0002) and 20.23% (P = 0.0001), respectively. Radiological results 12 mo after injection showed a significant increase in the total knee cartilage volume for the AD-MSCs group (P =0.0042 for the left knee and P = 0.0307 for the right knee), while the HA group had a tendency for cartilage to decrease. The outcomes here were reported after a comparatively longer time period of 12 mo, following the administration of two injections at 0 and 3 wk. This suggests that structural cartilage repair is possible when multiple smaller injections are used, and the therapy is given enough time (Table 1).

Table 1 Summary of different treatment modalities

MSCs	PRP	НА
(1) SC therapy utilizes various types of stem cells, such as those derived from adipose tissue, bone marrow, and the umbilical cord	(1) PRP contains elevated platelet concentrations and is used for tissue healing and regeneration, especially in conditions like OA	(1) Various HA derivatives are used for knee OA management, such as Hylan polymers, sodium hyaluronate and hyaluronan
(2) AD-MSC intra-articular injections showed significant clinical and structural knee joint improvement over 6 and 12 mo, respectively	(2) In a laboratory study, PRP and high molecular weight HA were compared in their effects on gene expression and secretion of inflammatory mediators from OA cartilage and synoviocytes	(2) Hylan G-F20, a cross-linked HA product, has demonstrated effectiveness in relieving pain and improving function in knee OA, as well as delaying the need for total knee replacement
(3) UC-MSC intra-articular injections showed significant clinical improvement over 12 mo with multiple injections, however, no structural improvement was observed	(3) PRP injections were found effective even in late-stage knee OA, enhancing pain relief and daily life activities	(3) Sodium hyaluronate, such as Supartz, has demonstrated significant pain reduction and improved function in mild to moderate symptomatic knee OA
(4) BM-MSC intra-articular injections did not show significant clinical or structural improvement	(4) Optimal dosage of PRP with 10 billion platelets showed significant improvements in WOMAC and IKDC scores, along with decreased inflammatory markers like IL-6 and TNF- α	(4) The clinical benefits of hyaluronan injections compared to placebo remain inconclusive, suggesting the need for head-to-head comparisons with other HA preparations
(5) Intra-articular injection of MSCs for OA is a safe treatment that showed no major adverse events	(5) PRP intra-articular injection has promising results for moderate to severe OA symptoms enhancement, regardless of leukocyte richness	(5) Current guidelines regarding viscosupplementation for knee OA are inconclusive and may evolve with emerging data supporting HA injections
	(6) Intraosseous injections of PRP did not provide additional benefits in OA knee treatment compared to intra-articular injections alone	(6) Safety assessments indicate slightly higher local adverse effects with intra-articular HA injections compared to placebo, but fewer systemic side effects than oral NSAIDs or acetaminophen
		(7) Viscosupplementation may offer symptom relief but does not reverse the arthritic process or promote cartilage regeneration, emphasizing the need for ongoing research into its efficacy and long-term effects

PRP: Platelet-rich plasma; OA: Osteoarthritis; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; HA: Hyaluronic acid; UC-MSC: Umbilical cord derived mesenchymal stem cells; BM-MSC: Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis index; IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee; IL-6: Interleukin-6; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor-α; NSAIDs: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

Umbilical-cord-derived MSCs and bone-marrow-derived MSCs

A second type of MSCs that can be used for the treatment of OA is umbilical-cord-derived MSCs (UC-MSCs). A study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of intra-articular UC-MSC injections in comparison with IA-HA injections in patients with knee OA was conducted^[19]. There were two MSC therapy groups, MSC-1 received an injection only at baseline while MSC-2 also received an injection at 6 mo. Clinical results showed the MSC-2 group had significantly lower scores at month 12 in WOMAC (P = 0.05) and VAS (P = 0.03) in comparison to the HA group. The MSC-1 group showed improvement until 9 mo and then its effects decreased and reached the level of the HA group by 12 mo. Radiological outcomes showed no significant improvement in any group. The results of this study suggest that multiple injections of UC-MSCs can cause better long term clinical improvements than a single injection and that UC-MSCs therapy is an efficient treatment choice for OA. However, no structural improvement was shown in both groups regardless of number of injections and time duration, possibly suggesting inferiority to AD-MSCs as treatment for OA. A third type of MSCs that can be used for the treatment of OA, is bone-marrow-derived MSCs (BM-MSCs). In 2016, a study was conducted to assess the safety and efficacy of intra-articular adult human allogeneic BM-MSCs in patients with knee OA[20]. Patients were divided into groups that received different doses (25, 50, 75 or 150 million cells) or placebo and every group received 2 mL HA following the intra-articular injection of BM-MSCs. Clinical results showed a trend of improvement in VAS, ICOAP and WOMAC-OA in all groups with exceptions in the 150 million cells group. However, none of the groups showing that trend had significant improvement. In addition, magnetic resonance imaging showed no difference between the BM-MSCs and placebo groups. The group with the largest concentration (150 million) of BM-MSCs yielded the worst results. These results might yield a significant outcome if the study were repeated with a larger sample size. Nonetheless, the outcome of this study did not support the use of BM-MSCs as opposed to the use of AD-MSCs or UC-MSCs for the treatment of OA. A study comparing the clinical and regenerative outcomes of bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) augmentation and human umbilical cord blood-derived (hUCB)-MSC injection following high tibial osteotomy (HTO) was conducted[21]. This was a different method of injection than in the previously discussed studies that involved drilling multiple holes that target cartilage defect sites followed with implantation of the SCs with scaffolds. Clinical outcomes were positive for both groups showing significant improvement 33 mo later (P < 0.001). However, the hUCB-MSC group showed significantly better cartilage repair outcomes than the BMAC group (P = 0.04). This different method of implantation following HTO showed a positive regenerative outcome with UC-MSCs, and on top of that, the improved clinical outcomes in both groups remained for as long as 33 mo. This is a long time period in comparison to time periods seen with single intra-articular injections. Whether these improved outcomes are due to the HTO or the targeted injection method, or both, remains unclear. Nevertheless, this suggests the possibility that this invasive method might be able to yield better results. At the same time, the difference in methods of injection makes it difficult to compare the efficacy of UC-MSCs with the previously discussed AD-MSCs as treatment options for OA. Nonetheless, the trend remains the same with BM-MSCs showing less efficacy in repairing cartilage defects sites.

SAFETY OF MSC THERAPY

From all the studies previously discussed, only one[20] had a single severe adverse event where the patient had a related effusion and required hospitalization and observation for an extra day. Adverse events were reported, some of which resolved spontaneously, and others were treated safely. Common adverse events seen throughout the studies include arthralgia, joint effusion, and acute synovitis. Therefore, the information provided suggests that intra-articular injections of MSCs for OA is a safe treatment that can provide patients with significant clinical improvement with little risk for side effects.

PLATELET-RICH PLASMA

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an analogous blood product that contains an elevated concentration of platelets in comparison with the baseline platelet concentration. It is used for the biological enhancement of tissue healing and regeneration, and increased levels of growth factors and secretory proteins provided by the concentrated platelets that play a role in enhancing the wound healing process, especially in autoimmune diseases such as OA[22]. In a controlled laboratory study, it aimed to assess the effect of PRP and high molecular weight hyaluron (HA) on the expression of anabolic and catabolic genes and on the secretion of nociceptive and inflammatory mediators from osteoarthritic cartilage and synoviocytes[23]. The synovium and cartilage were harvested from patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty for OA. Both PRP and HA injections resulted in alleviated catabolism, with PRP treatment resulting in reduction of matrix metalloprotein-13 cartilage breaking enzyme and an increase in hyaluronan synthase 2 expression in synoviocytes and an elevation of cartilage synthetic activity compared to HA (P < 0.05) (Table 2).

As for long-term pain reduction, PRP has shown superiority in comparison to HA. This was in a controlled randomized clinical trial that investigated the long-term effect of intra-articular injection of PRP and HA on clinical outcome and quality of life of patients with OA[24]. At 12 mo follow-up, the WOMAC pain score and bodily pain improved in both groups; however, better results were found in the PRP group compared to the HA group (P < 0.001). This study implies that PRP is more efficient than HA for the long-term reduction in symptoms and improving the quality of life of OA patients. Even in late stage OA, a prospective randomized trial assessed the outcomes of PRP injections at 3 and 6 mo *versus* baseline (P < 0.05 and P < 0.03), and implied that a single PRP intra-articular injection is effective for alleviating

Table 2 Comparison between different treatment modalities				
MSCs to PRP	PRP to HA	HA to MSCs		
(1) Both MSCs and PRP intra-articular injections resulted in pain relief and overall clinical improvement over similar time frames	(1) Both PRP and HA alleviated catabolism, but PRP showed better reduction in cartilage breaking enzymes and increased cartilage synthetic activity	(1) AD-MSC therapy demonstrated superior efficacy over HA injections		
(2) Both MSCs and PRP showed significantly better clinical improvement when compared to HA	(2) In a randomized clinical trial, PRP demonstrated superiority over HA in long- term pain reduction and improvement in quality of life for OA patients	(2) AD-MSC-treated patients showed increased knee cartilage volume at 12 mo, indicating potential long- term structural benefits compared to HA		
(3) Unlike PRP, AD-MSCs resulted in significant structural improvement, suggesting that they could serve as a more effective long-term treatment option for knee OA	(3) Comparisons between leukocyte-poor PRP and HA showed significant improvement with PRP in WOMAC and IKDC scores at 12 mo	(3) UC-MSC injections, administered in multiple doses, led to superior long-term clinical improvements in knee OA, in comparison to HA injections		
		(4) Neither UC-MSC nor HA injections showed structural improvement on radiological outcomes, suggesting potential limitations of both therapies in addressing OA progression		
		(5) HA injections offer temporary relief lasting up to several months, compared to UC-MSCs that can last up to 33 mo with invasive methods		
		(6) Injections and MSC therapy exhibit favorable safety profiles, with few severe adverse events reported		

PRP: Platelet-rich plasma; OA: Osteoarthritis; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; HA: Hyaluronic acid; UC-MSC: Umbilical cord derived mesenchymal stem cells; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis index; IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee.

pain and enhancing daily life activities and quality of life in late-stage knee OA[25].

Comparison between the efficacy of leukocyte-poor PRP (LP-PRP) and HA with NS control injections on 87 mild to moderate OA patients' knees, assessed the WOMAC score and International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) subjective score[26]. Only the LP-PRP group sustained a significant improvement in both the WOMAC score (21% increase) and IKDC score (40% increase) at 12 mo. As for the optimal dose and concentration of therapeutic PRP, it was shown that receiving PRP (10 billion platelets) led to significant improvements in WOMAC (P < 0.001), IKDC scores (P < 0.001) and 6-min pain-free walking distance (P < 0.001) in comparison to HA group at 1 mo. Also, there was a significant decline in interleukin (IL)-6 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α levels observed in the PRP group (P < 0.05) compared to HA at 1 mo. This study demonstrated that a count of 10 billion platelets is crucial in a LP-PRP formulation to have protective effects in moderate knee OA[27].

It is clear from the data that PRP intra-articular injection is an effective treatment with promising results for moderate to severe OA symptom relief. However, whether it is leukocyte rich or poor is solicited in a double-blind randomized trial, comparing the safety and effectiveness of leukocyte-rich PRP (LR-PRP) and LP-PRP for the treatment of OA. Patients had improvements in the mean IKDC subjective score at 12 mo with respect to baseline with improvement from 45.6 to 60.7 in the LR-PRP group as compared with 46.8 to 62.9 in the LP-PRP group (P = 0.626). This suggests that there were no clinically significant differences between LR or LP PRP injections seen in the 12-mo follow-up[28]. As for the modality of injection, single intra-articular injection with or without intraosseous injections of PRP in the treatment of OA knee, revealed no additional benefits in introducing the intraosseous injections in these cases[29].

ADVANCES IN ANIMAL STUDIES

Although MSCs have shown efficacy in clinical trials, they have also shown major disadvantages in their regenerative abilities, such as limited *in vitro* proliferative potential, as well as a proliferative capacity that decreases with age. This has led to the development of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). These cells are an unlimited source of patient-specific pluripotent cells equipped with regenerative capabilities. Despite the significant advantages of human iPSCs (hiPSCs) over other SC types such as MSCs and embryonic SCs, concerns remain regarding their ability to produce true cartilage and their potential tumorigenicity *in vivo*. A comprehensive systematic review on the application of hiPSCs for generating differentiated progeny that can produce high-quality cartilage *in vitro* and regenerate cartilage in osteochondral defects *in vivo* was conducted. The *in vitro* chondrogenesis using hiPSCs was assessed across eight studies. All protocols successfully produced functional chondrocytes capable of generating hyaline cartilage, indicated by high glycosaminoglycan levels, intense safranin O staining, type II collagen immunostaining, and expression of chondrogenic markers such as COL2A1, SOX9, and aggrecan. However, the quality of the cartilage produced varied among studies with the majority being capable of producing high quality cartilage. Eight studies that utilized hiPSC-derived cells, developed through *in vitro* protocols, to treat cartilage defects in animal knee joints were assessed. The studies demonstrated that hiPSC-derived

cells could regenerate hyaline articular cartilage in vivo, often producing cartilage of high quality comparable to native tissue. This was confirmed through macroscopic and microscopic analyses, strong type II collagen immunostaining, and intense safranin O staining. One study utilized serial magnetic resonance imaging to monitor postoperative outcomes, noting reduced T2 relaxation times that indicated successful engraftment and matrix formation. Poor cartilage regeneration was only reported by one study. Importantly, none of the four studies investigating tumorigenicity reported the formation of teratomas or tumor-like masses[30].

There have also been other notable advances in research using new techniques in animal studies. New injections such as secretome, an MSC derivate, have been studied and compared to MSCs and HA using sheep models for their efficacy and safety. The results showed a significantly better OA Research Society International OARSI score for the secretome group compared to the HA group (P = 0.01). However, although the OARSI score for the secretome group was better than for the MSCs group, there was no significant difference (P = 0.3). While there was no significant difference between the secretome and MSC groups, the secretome group showed better OARSI scores, likely due to host rejection, as previous studies have shown some immunogenicity associated with MSCs injections[31].

Other advances such as hUC-MSCs overexpressing miR-140-5p were tested for superior therapeutic effect compared to normal hUC-MSCs intra-articular injection in rat models. Results showed significant differentiation and enhanced articular cartilage self-repair in the miR-140-5p group compared to the normal hUC-MSCs group (P < 0.01). However, no significant difference was seen for increased proliferation of hUC-MSCs between the two groups[32].

As for animal studies in HA intra-articular injections, recent research highlights promising outcomes for various innovative therapies. One study demonstrated that a combination of HA, chondroitin sulfate, and glucosamine provided greater chondroprotective effects in rats with early-stage OA compared to HA alone[33]. Another study investigated a novel HA-methotrexate conjugate (DK226), which showed similar antiarthritic effects to oral methotrexate but without the associated side effects[34]. Additionally, nanoparticles made of poly (lactic acid) or poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) covered with amphiphilic HA are being explored as drug carriers for OA treatment, with studies indicating no toxic effects on synovial membranes and retention in the joint for up to 1 wk[35]. These findings highlight the potential for these advanced formulations to improve OA treatment in clinical settings.

Additionally, in PRP, a meta-analysis in 2024 evaluated the effects of PRP injections on inflammation and histopathological aspects of cartilage and synovium in animal models of OA. The findings indicate that PRP treatment resulted in better outcomes compared to control groups concerning cartilage histology (very low quality evidence; P = 0.0002) and synovium histology (very low quality; P < 0.0001), as well as reductions in proinflammatory markers such as IL-1 (low quality; P = 0.002), IL-6 (very low quality; P < 0.00001), and TNF- α (very low quality; P < 0.00001). However, PRP treatment did not significantly affect platelet-derived growth factor-A levels (very low quality; P = 0.81)[36]. A systematic review examined animal studies in 2021 of intra-articular PRP injections for treating OA. The review synthesized findings on the disease-modifying effects of PRP, comparing it with OA controls or other treatments, different PRP formulations and injection schedules, and combined therapies. Using the SYRCLE tool, bias risk was assessed among 44 articles involving 1251 animals. Results indicated that PRP injections had clinical benefits in 80% and disease-modifying effects in 68% of studies, slowing cartilage damage and reducing synovial inflammation, along with changes in biomarkers. However, optimal PRP formulation, injection timing, and synergistic effects with other therapies remain uncertain, while bias was low in 40%, unclear in 56%, and high in 4% of cases[37].

CONCLUSION

The diverse landscape of intra-articular treatments for OA underscores the need for personalized approaches. While HA, MSCs, and PRP show different modalities and varying degrees of efficacy in addressing symptoms and enhancing the quality of life, ongoing research is essential to refine treatment protocols, establish safety profiles, and determine the most effective formulations to better manage OA and harness regenerative potentials.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Soufan S, Hamdan Z and Al Khoury J designed and wrote the manuscript; Soufan S, Hamdan Z, Al Khoury J and Rida MA did the literature review; All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: Lebanon

ORCID number: Mohamad Ali Rida 0000-0001-9858-2923.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: Lebanese Society of Rheumatology.



WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

S-Editor: Li L L-Editor: Kerr C P-Editor: Zhao YO

REFERENCES

- Primorac D, Molnar V, Rod E, Jeleč Ž, Čukelj F, Matišić V, Vrdoljak T, Hudetz D, Hajsok H, Borić I. Knee Osteoarthritis: A Review of 1 Pathogenesis and State-Of-The-Art Non-Operative Therapeutic Considerations. Genes (Basel) 2020; 11 [PMID: 32722615 DOI: 10.3390/genes11080854]
- 2 Pereira D, Ramos E, Branco J. Osteoarthritis. Acta Med Port 2015; 28: 99-106 [PMID: 25817486 DOI: 10.20344/amp.5477]
- 3 Lespasio MJ, Piuzzi NS, Husni ME, Muschler GF, Guarino A, Mont MA. Knee Osteoarthritis: A Primer. Perm J 2017; 21: 16-183 [PMID: 29035179 DOI: 10.7812/TPP/16-183]
- Yusuf E. Pharmacologic and Non-Pharmacologic Treatment of Osteoarthritis. Curr Treat Opt in Rheum 2016; 2: 111-125 [DOI: 4 10.1007/s40674-016-0042-y]
- 5 Jones IA, Togashi R, Wilson ML, Heckmann N, Vangsness CT Jr. Intra-articular treatment options for knee osteoarthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2019; 15: 77-90 [PMID: 30498258 DOI: 10.1038/s41584-018-0123-4]
- 6 Walker K, Basehore BM, Goyal A, Zito PM. Hyaluronic Acid. 2023 Jul 3. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 [PMID: 29494047]
- 7 Peck J, Slovek A, Miro P, Vij N, Traube B, Lee C, Berger AA, Kassem H, Kaye AD, Sherman WF, Abd-Elsayed A. A Comprehensive Review of Viscosupplementation in Osteoarthritis of the Knee. Orthop Rev (Pavia) 2021; 13: 25549 [PMID: 34745480 DOI: 10.52965/001c.25549]
- Kearey P, Popple AE, Warren J, Davis T, Bellamy N; LOBRAS Study Group. Improvement in condition-specific and generic quality of life 8 outcomes in patients with knee osteoarthritis following single-injection Synvisc: results from the LOBRAS study. Curr Med Res Opin 2017; **33**: 409-419 [PMID: 27848271 DOI: 10.1080/03007995.2016.1260533]
- 9 Waddell DD, Joseph B. Delayed Total Knee Replacement with Hylan G-F 20. J Knee Surg 2016; 29: 159-168 [PMID: 25349988 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1395281]
- Shichikawa K, Maeda A, Ogawa N. [Clinical evaluation of sodium hyaluronate in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee]. Ryumachi 1983; 10 23: 280-290 [PMID: 6364389]
- Richardson C, Plaas A, Block JA. Intra-articular Hyaluronan Therapy for Symptomatic Knee Osteoarthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2019; 11 45: 439-451 [PMID: 31277754 DOI: 10.1016/j.rdc.2019.04.011]
- Concoff A, Sancheti P, Niazi F, Shaw P, Rosen J. The efficacy of multiple versus single hyaluronic acid injections: a systematic review and 12 meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2017; 18: 542 [PMID: 29268731 DOI: 10.1186/s12891-017-1897-2]
- 13 Cubukçu D, Ardiç F, Karabulut N, Topuz O. Hylan G-F 20 efficacy on articular cartilage quality in patients with knee osteoarthritis: clinical and MRI assessment. Clin Rheumatol 2005; 24: 336-341 [PMID: 15599642 DOI: 10.1007/s10067-004-1043-z]
- Huang TL, Chang CC, Lee CH, Chen SC, Lai CH, Tsai CL. Intra-articular injections of sodium hyaluronate (Hyalgan®) in osteoarthritis of 14 the knee. a randomized, controlled, double-blind, multicenter trial in the Asian population. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011; 12: 221 [PMID: 21978211 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-12-221]
- 15 Altman RD, Moskowitz R. Intraarticular sodium hyaluronate (Hyalgan) in the treatment of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized clinical trial. Hyalgan Study Group. J Rheumatol 1998; 25 [PMID: 9818665]
- Cooper C, Rannou F, Richette P, Bruyère O, Al-Daghri N, Altman RD, Brandi ML, Collaud Basset S, Herrero-Beaumont G, Migliore A, 16 Pavelka K, Uebelhart D, Reginster JY. Use of Intraarticular Hyaluronic Acid in the Management of Knee Osteoarthritis in Clinical Practice. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017; 69: 1287-1296 [PMID: 28118523 DOI: 10.1002/acr.23204]
- Lee WS, Kim HJ, Kim KI, Kim GB, Jin W. Intra-Articular Injection of Autologous Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the 17 Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Phase IIb, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. Stem Cells Transl Med 2019; 8: 504-511 [PMID: 30835956 DOI: 10.1002/sctm.18-0122]
- Lu L, Dai C, Zhang Z, Du H, Li S, Ye P, Fu Q, Zhang L, Wu X, Dong Y, Song Y, Zhao D, Pang Y, Bao C. Treatment of knee osteoarthritis 18 with intra-articular injection of autologous adipose-derived mesenchymal progenitor cells: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, activecontrolled, phase IIb clinical trial. Stem Cell Res Ther 2019; 10: 143 [PMID: 31113476 DOI: 10.1186/s13287-019-1248-3]
- 19 Matas J, Orrego M, Amenabar D, Infante C, Tapia-Limonchi R, Cadiz MI, Alcayaga-Miranda F, González PL, Muse E, Khoury M, Figueroa FE, Espinoza F. Umbilical Cord-Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (MSCs) for Knee Osteoarthritis: Repeated MSC Dosing Is Superior to a Single MSC Dose and to Hyaluronic Acid in a Controlled Randomized Phase I/II Trial. Stem Cells Transl Med 2019; 8: 215-224 [PMID: 30592390 DOI: 10.1002/sctm.18-0053]
- Gupta PK, Chullikana A, Rengasamy M, Shetty N, Pandey V, Agarwal V, Wagh SY, Vellotare PK, Damodaran D, Viswanathan P, Thej C, 20 Balasubramanian S, Majumdar AS. Efficacy and safety of adult human bone marrow-derived, cultured, pooled, allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells (Stempeucel®): preclinical and clinical trial in osteoarthritis of the knee joint. Arthritis Res Ther 2016; 18: 301 [PMID: 27993154 DOI: 10.1186/s13075-016-1195-7]
- Yang HY, Song EK, Kang SJ, Kwak WK, Kang JK, Seon JK. Allogenic umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stromal cell implantation 21 was superior to bone marrow aspirate concentrate augmentation for cartilage regeneration despite similar clinical outcomes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2022; 30: 208-218 [PMID: 33492407 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-021-06450-w]
- 22 Arnoczky SP, Sheibani-Rad S. The basic science of platelet-rich plasma (PRP): what clinicians need to know. Sports Med Arthrosc Rev 2013; 21: 180-185 [PMID: 24212364 DOI: 10.1097/JSA.0b013e3182999712]
- Sundman EA, Cole BJ, Karas V, Della Valle C, Tetreault MW, Mohammed HO, Fortier LA. The anti-inflammatory and matrix restorative 23 mechanisms of platelet-rich plasma in osteoarthritis. Am J Sports Med 2014; 42: 35-41 [PMID: 24192391 DOI: 10.1177/0363546513507766]
- 24 Raeissadat SA, Rayegani SM, Hassanabadi H, Fathi M, Ghorbani E, Babaee M, Azma K. Knee Osteoarthritis Injection Choices: Platelet- Rich Plasma (PRP) Versus Hyaluronic Acid (A one-year randomized clinical trial). Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord 2015; 8: 1-8



[PMID: 25624776 DOI: 10.4137/CMAMD.S17894]

- Joshi Jubert N, Rodríguez L, Reverté-Vinaixa MM, Navarro A. Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections for Advanced Knee Osteoarthritis: A 25 Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blinded Clinical Trial. Orthop J Sports Med 2017; 5: 2325967116689386 [PMID: 28255569 DOI: 10.1177/2325967116689386]
- Lin KY, Yang CC, Hsu CJ, Yeh ML, Renn JH. Intra-articular Injection of Platelet-Rich Plasma Is Superior to Hyaluronic Acid or Saline 26 Solution in the Treatment of Mild to Moderate Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Triple-Parallel, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. Arthroscopy 2019; 35: 106-117 [PMID: 30611335 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2018.06.035]
- Paget LDA, Reurink G, de Vos RJ, Weir A, Moen MH, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Stufkens SAS, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Tol JL; PRIMA Study 27 Group. Effect of Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections vs Placebo on Ankle Symptoms and Function in Patients With Ankle Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2021; 326: 1595-1605 [PMID: 34698782 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2021.16602]
- 28 Di Martino A, Boffa A, Andriolo L, Romandini I, Altamura SA, Cenacchi A, Roverini V, Zaffagnini S, Filardo G. Leukocyte-Rich versus Leukocyte-Poor Platelet-Rich Plasma for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Double-Blind Randomized Trial. Am J Sports Med 2022; 50: 609-617 [PMID: 35103547 DOI: 10.1177/03635465211064303]
- Sun SF, Lin GC, Hsu CW, Lin HS, Liou IS, Wu SY. Comparing efficacy of intraarticular single crosslinked Hyaluronan (HYAJOINT Plus) 29 and platelet-rich plasma (PRP) versus PRP alone for treating knee osteoarthritis. Sci Rep 2021; 11: 140 [PMID: 33420185 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-80333-x]
- Kamaraj A, Kyriacou H, Seah KTM, Khan WS. Use of human induced pluripotent stem cells for cartilage regeneration in vitro and within 30 chondral defect models of knee joint cartilage in vivo: a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses systematic literature review. Cytotherapy 2021; 23: 647-661 [PMID: 34059422 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2021.03.008]
- Lubis AMT, Aprianto P, Pawitan JA, Priosoeryanto BP, Dewi TIT, Kamal AF. Intra-articular injection of secretome, derived from umbilical 31 cord mesenchymal stem cell, enhances the regeneration process of cartilage in early-stage osteo-arthritis: an animal study. Acta Orthop 2023; 94: 300-306 [PMID: 37377012 DOI: 10.2340/17453674.2023.12359]
- Geng Y, Chen J, Alahdal M, Chang C, Duan L, Zhu W, Mou L, Xiong J, Wang M, Wang D. Intra-articular injection of hUC-MSCs expressing 32 miR-140-5p induces cartilage self-repairing in the rat osteoarthritis. J Bone Miner Metab 2020; 38: 277-288 [PMID: 31760502 DOI: 10.1007/s00774-019-01055-3
- 33 Şükür E, Talu C, Akman YE, Çirci E, Öztürkmen Y, Tüzüner T. Comparison of the chondroprotective effect of a novel hydrogel compound and traditional hyaluronate on rat cartilage in a papain-induced osteoarthritis model. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2016; 50: 458-463 [PMID: 27449593 DOI: 10.1016/j.aott.2016.07.008]
- Tamura T, Higuchi Y, Kitamura H, Murao N, Saitoh R, Morikawa T, Sato H. Erratum to: Novel hyaluronic acid-methotrexate conjugate 34 suppresses joint inflammation in the rat knee: efficacy and safety evaluation in two rat arthritis models. Arthritis Res Ther 2016; 18: 121 [PMID: 27246005 DOI: 10.1186/s13075-016-1029-7]
- Zille H, Paquet J, Henrionnet C, Scala-Bertola J, Leonard M, Six JL, Deschamp F, Netter P, Vergès J, Gillet P, Grossin L. Evaluation of intra-35 articular delivery of hyaluronic acid functionalized biopolymeric nanoparticles in healthy rat knees. Biomed Mater Eng 2010; 20: 235-242 [PMID: 20930333 DOI: 10.3233/BME-2010-0637]
- Garcia-Motta H, Carvalho C, Guilherme EM, de Oliveira MPB, Rossi KNZP. Effects of intra-articular injection of platelet-rich plasma on the 36 inflammatory process and histopathological characteristics of cartilage and synovium in animals with osteoarthritis: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Adv Rheumatol 2024; 64: 24 [PMID: 38553767 DOI: 10.1186/s42358-024-00364-0]
- Boffa A, Salerno M, Merli G, De Girolamo L, Laver L, Magalon J, Sánchez M, Tischer T, Filardo G. Platelet-rich plasma injections induce 37 disease-modifying effects in the treatment of osteoarthritis in animal models. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2021; 29: 4100-4121 [PMID: 34341845 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-021-06659-9]



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

