

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Surgery*

World J Gastrointest Surg 2024 November 27; 16(11): 3381-3642



EDITORIAL

- 3381 Advances in beyond total mesorectal excision surgery: Behind the scenes
Peltrini R
- 3385 Minimally invasive multivisceral resection in rectal cancer: Preparation or Precipitation?
Ramírez Sánchez C, Lomeli Martínez SM
- 3391 Pembrolizumab in patients with gastric cancer and liver metastases: A paradigm shift in immunotherapy
Christodoulidis G, Bartzis D, Koumarelas KE, Kouliou MN
- 3395 Biliary microbiome and gallstones: A silent friendship
Banerjee T, Goswami AG, Basu S
- 3400 Benefits and drawbacks of radiofrequency ablation *via* percutaneous or minimally invasive surgery for treating hepatocellular carcinoma
Hsieh CL, Peng CM, Chen CW, Liu CH, Teng CT, Liu YJ
- 3408 Immunotherapy for metastatic gastric cancer
Li CF, Lian LL, Li QR, Jiao Y

MINIREVIEWS

- 3413 Risk factors and prevention of pancreatic fistula after laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer
Liu SS, Xie HY, Chang HD, Wang L, Yan S

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 3425 Proposal for a new classification of anorectal abscesses based on clinical characteristics and postoperative recurrence
Chen SZ, Sun KJ, Gu YF, Zhao HY, Wang D, Shi YF, Shi RJ

Retrospective Study

- 3437 Risk factors for hemocoagulase-associated hypofibrinogenemia in patients with gastrointestinal bleeding
Zou F, Wu MT, Wang YY
- 3445 Effect of surgical timing on postoperative outcomes in patients with acute cholecystitis after delayed percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage
Gao W, Zheng J, Bai JG, Han Z

- 3453** Clinical significance of appendicoliths in elderly patients over eighty years old undergoing emergency appendectomy: A single-center retrospective study
Min LQ, Lu J, He HY
- 3463** Clinical study of different interventional treatments for primary hepatocellular carcinoma based on propensity-score matching
Cheng XB, Yang L, Lu MQ, Peng YB, Wang L, Zhu SM, Hu ZW, Wang ZL, Yang Q
- 3471** How to preserve the native or reconstructed esophagus after perforations or postoperative leaks: A multidisciplinary 15-year experience
Nachira D, Calabrese G, Senatore A, Pontecorvi V, Kuzmych K, Belletatti C, Boskoski I, Meacci E, Biondi A, Raveglia F, Bove V, Congedo MT, Vita ML, Santoro G, Petracca Ciavarella L, Lococo F, Punzo G, Trivisonno A, Petrella F, Barbaro F, Spada C, D'Ugo D, Cioffi U, Margaritora S
- 3484** Predicting prolonged postoperative ileus in gastric cancer patients based on bowel sounds using intelligent auscultation and machine learning
Shi S, Lu C, Shan L, Yan L, Liang Y, Feng T, Chen Z, Chen X, Wu X, Liu SD, Duan XL, Wang ZZ
- 3499** Factors influencing agitation during anesthesia recovery after laparoscopic hernia repair under total inhalation combined with caudal block anesthesia
Zhu YF, Yi FY, Qin MH, Lu J, Liang H, Yang S, Wei YZ
- 3511** Laparoscopic cholecystectomy plus common bile duct exploration for extrahepatic bile duct stones and postoperative recurrence-associated risk factors
Liao JH, Li JS, Wang TL, Liu WS

Observational Study

- 3520** Analysis of therapeutic effect of cell reduction combined with intraperitoneal thermoperfusion chemotherapy in treatment of peritoneal pseudomyxoma
Li WW, Ru XM, Xuan HY, Fan Q, Zhang JJ, Lu J
- 3531** Effect of comprehensive management combined with cognitive intervention on patient cooperation and complications during digestive endoscopy
Yuan JD, Zhang ZZ

Basic Study

- 3538** New rabbit model for benign biliary stricture formation with repeatable administration
Sun QY, Cheng YM, Sun YH, Huang J

META-ANALYSIS

- 3546** Preventive effect of probiotics on infections following colorectal cancer surgery: An umbrella meta-analysis
Han Y, Wang Y, Guan M
- 3559** Meta-analysis of electrical stimulation promoting recovery of gastrointestinal function after gynecological abdominal surgery
Huang XX, Gu HF, Shen PH, Chu BL, Chen Y

- 3568** Outcome and risk factors of ulcer healing after gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Chen DY, Chen HD, Lv XD, Huang Z, Jiang D, Li Y, Han B, Han LC, Xu XF, Li SQ, Lin GF, Huang ZX, Lin JN, Lv XP

CASE REPORT

- 3578** Therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in a patient with asplenia-type heterotaxy syndrome: A case report

Zhang YY, Ruan J, Fu Y

- 3584** Blue rubber blister nevus syndrome: A case report

Wang WJ, Chen PL, Shao HZ

- 3590** Emergency pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatitis-associated necrotic perforation of the distal stomach and full-length duodenum: A case report

Tong KN, Zhang WT, Liu K, Xu R, Guo W

- 3598** Primary hepatic leiomyosarcoma masquerading as liver abscess: A case report

Wu FN, Zhang M, Zhang K, Lv XL, Guo JQ, Tu CY, Zhou QY

- 3606** Unexpected right-sided sigmoid colon in laparoscopy: A case report and review of literature

Hu SF, Liu XY, Liu HB, Hao YY

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 3614** Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage *vs* percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage for malignant biliary obstruction after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography failure

Zhao H, Zhang XW, Song P, Li X

- 3618** Preoperative malnutrition in elderly gastric cancer patients and adverse postoperative outcomes of radical gastrectomy

Liu SS, Wang L

- 3623** Reconsideration of the clinical management of hepatic hemangioma

Zhang ZH, Jiang C, Li JX

- 3629** Cognitive clarity in colon surgery: The dexmedetomidine advantage

Rao AG, Nashwan AJ

- 3632** Preoperative gastric retention in endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

Efthymiou A, Kennedy PT

- 3636** Does shear wave elastography technology provide better value for the assessment of perianal fistulizing Crohn's disease?

Wu J

- 3639** Unlocking the diagnostic potential of vascular endothelial growth factor and interleukin-17: Advancing early detection strategies for hepatocellular carcinoma

Subramanian S, Rajakumar HK

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, Andrea Cavallaro, MD, PhD, Doctor, Research Assistant Professor, Researcher, Department of Surgery and Medical Surgical Specialties, University of Catania, Catania 95123, Italy. andreacavallaro@tiscali.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* (WJGS, *World J Gastrointest Surg*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJGS as 1.8; JIF without journal self cites: 1.7; 5-year JIF: 1.9; JIF Rank: 126/292 in surgery; JIF Quartile: Q2; and 5-year JIF Quartile: Q3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

<p>NAME OF JOURNAL</p> <p><i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i></p> <p>ISSN</p> <p>ISSN 1948-9366 (online)</p> <p>LAUNCH DATE</p> <p>November 30, 2009</p> <p>FREQUENCY</p> <p>Monthly</p> <p>EDITORS-IN-CHIEF</p> <p>Peter Schemmer</p> <p>EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm</p> <p>PUBLICATION DATE</p> <p>November 27, 2024</p> <p>COPYRIGHT</p> <p>© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc</p>	<p>INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204</p> <p>GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/287</p> <p>GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240</p> <p>PUBLICATION ETHICS</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/288</p> <p>PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208</p> <p>ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242</p> <p>STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS</p> <p>https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/239</p> <p>ONLINE SUBMISSION</p> <p>https://www.f6publishing.com</p>
---	--



Retrospective Cohort Study

Proposal for a new classification of anorectal abscesses based on clinical characteristics and postoperative recurrence

Shan-Zhong Chen, Kui-Jun Sun, Yi-Fan Gu, Hong-Yuan Zhao, Dong Wang, Yun-Fang Shi, Ren-Jie Shi

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification

Scientific Quality: Grade B, Grade C

Novelty: Grade B, Grade C

Creativity or Innovation: Grade B, Grade C

Scientific Significance: Grade B, Grade C

P-Reviewer: Tantau AI; Wang C

Received: June 29, 2024

Revised: September 12, 2024

Accepted: September 23, 2024

Published online: November 27, 2024

Processing time: 122 Days and 22.7 Hours



Shan-Zhong Chen, Ren-Jie Shi, First Clinical Medical College, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China

Shan-Zhong Chen, Kui-Jun Sun, Yi-Fan Gu, Hong-Yuan Zhao, Department of Anorectal Surgery, People's Hospital of Yangzhong, Zhenjiang 212200, Jiangsu Province, China

Dong Wang, Department of Ultrasound Medicine, People's Hospital of Yangzhong, Zhenjiang 212200, Jiangsu Province, China

Yun-Fang Shi, Department of Medical Imaging, People's Hospital of Yangzhong, Zhenjiang 212200, Jiangsu Province, China

Ren-Jie Shi, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China

Corresponding author: Ren-Jie Shi, MD, Chief Doctor, Professor, First Clinical Medical College, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, No. 155 Hanzhong Road, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China. 949194350@qq.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Current surgical procedures for anorectal abscesses, including incision and drainage alone or combined concurrent fistulotomy, remain controversial primarily due to the unpredictability of postoperative recurrence or the progression to anal fistula.

AIM

To evaluate factors that predict postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscesses and propose a new classification to guide surgical procedures.

METHODS

In this retrospective study, 525 patients with anorectal abscesses treated by incision and drainage alone, at a tertiary general hospital from August 2012 to July 2022, were included. A new classification for anorectal abscesses based on their propensity to develop into fistulas, considering 18 other potential risk factors, was established. These factors, from electronic medical records, were screened for significance using the χ^2 test and subsequently analyzed with multivariate logistic regression to evaluate their relationship with postoperative

recurrence of anorectal abscesses.

RESULTS

One year post-follow-up, the overall recurrence rate was 39%:81.0% and 23.5% for fistula-prone and non-fistula-prone abscesses, respectively. Univariate χ^2 analysis showed significant differences in recurrence rates based on anatomical classifications and pus culture results ($P < 0.05$). Fistula-prone abscess, ≥ 7 days between symptom onset and surgery, chronic diarrhea, preoperative antibiotic use, and local anesthesia were risk factors for recurrence, while diabetes mellitus was protective ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, fistula-prone abscess [odds ratio (OR) = 7.651, 95%CI: 4.049–14.458, $P < 0.001$], ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery (OR = 2.137, 95%CI: 1.090–4.190, $P = 0.027$), chronic diarrhea (OR = 2.508, 95%CI: 1.216–5.173, $P = 0.013$), and local anesthesia (OR = 2.308, 95%CI: 1.313–4.059, $P = 0.004$) were independent risk factors for postoperative anorectal abscess recurrence using multivariate logistic regression. Body mass index ≥ 28 (OR = 2.935, 95%CI: 1.203–7.165, $P = 0.018$) was an independent risk factor for postoperative recurrence of non-fistula-prone abscess.

CONCLUSION

The choice of surgical procedure for treating anorectal abscesses should follow this new classification. Prompt and thorough incision and drainage can significantly reduce postoperative recurrence.

Key Words: Anorectal abscess; New classification; Clinical characteristics; Risk factors; Postoperative recurrence rate; Surgical procedure

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This retrospective study evaluated the predictive factors for postoperative anorectal abscess recurrence and proposed a new classification to guide surgical procedures, including incision and drainage alone or a combined concurrent fistulotomy. We found that the recurrence rate of fistula-prone and non-fistula-prone abscesses (FPAs) was 81.0% and 23.5%, respectively. Additionally, we demonstrated that FPAs, a duration ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery, chronic diarrhea, and local anesthesia were independent risk factors for postoperative anorectal abscess recurrence. Our findings support using this new classification to guide the choice of surgical procedures for treating anorectal abscesses.

Citation: Chen SZ, Sun KJ, Gu YF, Zhao HY, Wang D, Shi YF, Shi RJ. Proposal for a new classification of anorectal abscesses based on clinical characteristics and postoperative recurrence. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2024; 16(11): 3425-3436

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v16/i11/3425.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v16.i11.3425>

INTRODUCTION

Anorectal abscesses are among the most common conditions encountered in the Department of Anorectal Surgery, with an estimated annual incidence of 68000–96000 in the United States[1]. Predominantly, in 90% of cases, anorectal abscesses develop due to cryptoglandular infections and can spread in multiple directions, leading to various types of abscesses[2]. In severe cases, the condition can progress to life-threatening necrotizing fasciitis[3].

Undoubtedly, anorectal abscesses require surgical treatment; however, only a proportion of patients with this condition develop postoperative fistulas and it is difficult to predict. The choice between incision and drainage (I and D) alone or combined concurrent fistulotomy remains highly controversial. Proponents of the combined procedure argue that, based on the cryptoglandular infection theory, most anorectal abscesses would inevitably recur or develop anal fistulas after I and D alone, which can only be prevented by I and D combined with concurrent fistulotomy, thus avoiding reoperation[4-6]. Opponents argue that many anorectal abscesses treated with I and D alone do not recur or develop anal fistulas and that adding concurrent fistulotomy is unnecessary. They caution against damaging the anal sphincter or causing anal incontinence out of fear of a possible clinical prognosis. In addition, during the acute abscess phase, tissue edema and unclear anatomy increase the risk of artificially creating a false tract when searching for an internal opening, which can also predispose a patient to recurrence[7-9]. These guidelines advise against probing for a possible fistula at the abscess stage to avoid iatrogenic injury[10]. Current guidelines from the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons have not reached a definitive conclusion on this issue[11]. Although the preoperative classification of patients with anorectal abscesses based on relapse tendency can guide surgical decision-making, there are few universally accepted doctrines on this subject.

Currently, anorectal abscesses are described according to their anatomical locations: (1) Ischiorectal; (2) Intersphincteric; (3) Supralelevator; and (4) Submucosal abscesses[12]. While this provides guidance on the operative route it does not determine whether I and D alone or combined concurrent fistulotomy should be employed. This suggests an urgent need for a more effective strategy for the preoperative and intraoperative classification of anorectal abscesses according to their relapse tendency, thereby guiding the selection of the optimal surgical procedure to achieve a balance between

recurrence prevention and function protection.

Through years of clinical observation, we found that fistula-prone abscesses (FPAs) have an extremely high rate of recurrence or fistula formation after simple I and D, while non-FPAs (NFPAs) exhibit a substantially lower rate of recurrence. This suggests that this new classification of anorectal abscesses is reasonable. Therefore, this retrospective study was conducted to test this hypothesis and provide the basis for this new classification. Furthermore, we aimed to identify other risk factors that might predict recurrent anorectal abscess to guide the choice of surgical procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Yangzhong People's Hospital (No. KY202317), and the requirement for written informed consent was waived due to its retrospective and anonymous nature.

Clinical data from outpatients and inpatients diagnosed with anorectal abscesses, treated with I and D alone in our hospital (a tertiary general hospital) from August 2012 to July 2022, were collected.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Patients diagnosed with an anorectal abscess, not limited by sex; (2) Patients with ages ranging from 18–70 years; and (3) Patients who underwent I and D alone at our hospital.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) A non-cryptoglandular anorectal abscesses (caused by specific infection or trauma); (2) History of anal fistula and anorectal surgery; (3) Comorbidities including human immunodeficiency virus, malignant tumors, tuberculosis, inflammatory bowel disease, and necrotizing fasciitis; (4) Missing or incomplete clinical data; and (5) Pregnant patients.

Finally, 525 patients were enrolled in the study (Figure 1).

Definition of FPA

An fistula-prone abscess (FPA) is defined by several criteria: (1) It is characterized by a hard nodule, depression, or defect at the dentate line or sclerotic tissue between the pus cavity and the anal canal, as detected by a finger touch (characteristics of anorectal clinical examination); (2) During surgery, pus oozes from the corresponding area when pressing the pus cavity or liquid overflows when hydrogen peroxide and methylene blue are instilled into the pus cavity (characteristics of surgery); and (3) Ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) reveals an abscess cavity leading to the anal canal, internal sphincter involvement, or discontinuity (characteristics of imaging). If any of the above conditions are satisfied, it is classified as an FPA; otherwise, it is classified as a non-FPA (NFPA) (Figure 2).

Treatment strategy

All patients completed a routine preoperative examination to rule out contraindications to surgery and underwent ultrasound or MRI. Additionally, their medical histories, including multiple potential risk factors, were obtained. The sites of the anorectal abscesses and anatomical classifications were recorded.

Patients with perianal abscesses were divided into two groups based on the assessment of their conditions and patient preference: I and D was performed under outpatient local anesthesia or inpatient spinal anesthesia. Patients with other anatomical types of anorectal abscesses were hospitalized and received I and D under spinal anesthesia.

All surgeries were performed by the same experienced surgical team of attending or chief surgeons. First, anorectal clinical examinations were conducted, followed by pressing the pus cavity to check for any oozing pus. If this process failed, a small incision was made at the point of maximal bulge to drain the pus, and the pus volume was recorded. Subsequently, hydrogen peroxide was instilled with methylene blue into the pus cavity to observe whether any liquid overflowed. Notably, that there were some differences in the surgical approaches to different anatomical types. For perianal and ischiorectal abscesses, a radial incision was made at the point of maximal bulge. Necrotic tissues were removed, narrow skin edges were excised, and potential loculations were explored and opened. The abscess cavity was washed with dilute iodophor and saline, followed by dressing after achieving hemostasis. Additional counter incisions were made for horseshoe abscesses to ensure adequate drainage. For intersphincteric abscesses, partial internal sphincterotomy was performed to drain endoanally or transrectally. For supralelevator abscesses, if secondary to an intersphincteric space infection, a partial internal sphincterotomy was performed to facilitate transrectal drainage and a drain tube was inserted into the supralelevator space; if the infection originated from ischiorectal space, a transdermal incision and tube drainage was adopted. Probe was not used in anorectal abscess surgery to avoid creating false tracts and inadvertently destroying the local barrier.

The pus was cultured. Antibiotics were administered intravenously for 1–2 days postoperatively. Sitz baths were administered twice a day, and the dressing was changed once a day until the wounds healed.

Follow-up

The patients were instructed to visit the outpatient clinic immediately if they experienced any discomfort, such as perianal swelling and pain or pus discharge, after wound healing. If they remained asymptomatic, a follow-up was scheduled in the outpatient clinic 6 months and 1 year postoperatively, supplemented with telephone interviews. The study's primary endpoint was recurrence, defined as abscess relapse or fistula formation. A team of experienced anorectal surgeons judged recurrence based on a clinical exam and an ultrasound or MRI examination.




Figure 1 The flowchart of study design.




Figure 2 Clinical characteristics of fistula-prone abscess. A: There is a hard nodule, a depression, even a defect at the dentate line; B: Sclerotic tissue between the pus cavity and the anal canal by a finger touch; C: Pus oozes out of the corresponding area when press the pus cavity (direction of the yellow arrow); D: Liquid overflows when instilling hydrogen peroxide + methylene blue into the pus cavity during the operation (direction of the yellow arrow); E: Abscess cavity leading to the anal canal, internal sphincter involvement or discontinuity (direction of the yellow arrow in the ultrasound imaging); F: Abscess cavity leading to the anal canal, internal sphincter involvement or discontinuity (direction of the yellow arrow in the magnetic resonance imaging).

Statistical analysis

The original data were extracted from the electronic medical records and stored in Microsoft Excel; Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 26.0 software was used for statistical analysis. Categorical data were expressed as numbers (*n*) and percentage (%). Comparisons between groups were performed using the χ^2 test, and differences were defined as statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. A multivariate logistic regression analysis included the screened positive variables to explore the relationship between postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscesses and various factors. The same statistical approach was implemented in the NFPA subgroup.

RESULTS

General information about the subjects

A total of 966 patients with anorectal abscesses were operated on in our hospital from August 2012 to July 2022. Among them, 712 patients underwent I and D alone, and 187 patients met the exclusion criteria. Consequently, 525 patients were enrolled in the study; 464 were male, and 61 were female, with this condition most prevalent among those aged 30–59 years. The most common anatomical classification was perianal ($n = 322$, 61.3%), followed by intersphincteric abscesses ($n = 94$, 17.9%). Regarding abscess sites, approximately half were posterior ($n = 261$, 49.7%) compared with anterior abscesses ($n = 14$, 2.7%). Among the 322 patients with perianal abscesses, 181 underwent outpatient surgeries under local anesthesia, while 141 were hospitalized and operated under spinal anesthesia. FPAs were identified in 142 patients (27.0%). After 1 year of follow-up, 205 patients experienced recurrence, 320 were cured, resulting in a 39.0% relapse rate. FPAs and NFPA recurrence rates were 81.0% and 23.5%, respectively.

A univariate analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscess

Based on clinical experience and previous literature, 19 factors were included in the study. Given that the number of factors may affect the final results of the analysis, we first conducted a univariate analysis using the χ^2 test; the results showed that the recurrence rate was significantly different among patients with different anatomic classifications and pus culture results ($P < 0.05$). FPA, a duration ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery, chronic diarrhea, preoperative antibiotic use, and local anesthesia were risk factors for recurrence. Diabetes mellitus appeared to be a protective factor ($P < 0.05$). The differences in postoperative recurrence rates of anorectal abscesses between the groups when classified based on sex, age, body mass index (BMI), pus volume, smoking status, drinking and spicy food habits, fever, constipation, insomnia, and abscess site were not significant ($P > 0.05$). Data are presented in [Table 1](#).

A multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscess

The eight statistically significant variables mentioned above were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis as independent variables, with recurrence as the dependent variable (the variable assignment is shown in [Table 2](#)). The results, detailed in [Table 3](#), identified the following independent risk factors for postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscesses: FPA [odds ratio (OR) = 7.651, 95%CI: 4.049–14.458, $P < 0.001$], time ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery (OR = 2.137, 95%CI: 1.090–4.190, $P = 0.027$), chronic diarrhea (OR = 2.508, 95%CI: 1.216–5.173, $P = 0.013$), and local anesthesia (OR = 2.308, 95%CI: 1.313–4.059, $P = 0.004$).

A univariate analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence in the NFPA subgroup

The FPA group could not be analyzed because the sample size was too small to satisfy the conditions for applying statistical methods. Univariate analysis of the risk factors for postoperative recurrence in the NFPA subgroup was performed using the χ^2 test, and the results showed that the recurrence rate differed significantly among patients with different anatomical classifications ($P < 0.05$). A BMI ≥ 28 , time ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery, chronic diarrhea, and local anesthesia were risk factors for recurrence ($P < 0.05$). None of the remaining 13 factors significantly influenced the recurrence rate ($P > 0.05$). Details are presented in [Table 4](#).

A multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors affecting postoperative recurrence of NFPA

The five statistically significant variables mentioned above and pus volume were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis as independent variables, with recurrence as the dependent variable (the variable assignment is shown in [Table 5](#)). The results are shown in [Table 6](#). BMI ≥ 28 (OR = 2.935, 95%CI: 1.203–7.165, $P = 0.018$), time ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery (OR = 5.978, 95%CI: 2.043–17.489, $P = 0.001$), chronic diarrhea (OR = 3.417, 95%CI: 1.388–8.412, $P = 0.008$), and local anesthesia (OR = 3.341, 95%CI: 1.565–7.133, $P = 0.002$) were identified as independent risk factors for postoperative recurrence of NFPA.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, 39.0% of patients reported recurrence, nearly consistent with findings from previous studies[13–15]. Among the 525 enrolled patients, 142 were classified and assigned to the FPA group and 383 to NFPA group. The recurrence rate significantly differed between the two groups: 81.0% and 23.5% for the FPA and NFPA groups, respectively. The significant difference in recurrence rates observed in our study explains the wide range of recurrence previously reported (21%–88%)[16].

The presence of FPAs was identified as a significant risk factor for recurrence, in both the univariate and multivariate analysis ($\chi^2 = 143.842$, OR = 7.651). Possible explanations for this result could be related to the three clinical characteristics of FPAs, which all imply disruption of the local barrier and increased permeability. The internal sphincter plays an important role in maintaining this barrier. As inflammation spreads and destroys the integrity of the internal sphincter, it ultimately compromises the local barrier, facilitating the continual migration of the intestinal bacteria to the perianal space. Eventually, a fistula will inevitably persist. This suggests that intraoperative use of a probe to explore a potential fistula tract is inadvisable. The new classification is necessary for guiding the choice of surgical procedure (I and D alone or with concurrent fistulotomy): (1) In the case of NFPA, a simple I and D is sufficient, thus avoiding unnecessary injuries; and (2) For FPAs, fistulotomy or seton placement can be attempted to prevent recurrence. Notably, 19% of FPAs

Table 1 A univariate analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence, *n* (%)

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 525)	Recurrence (<i>n</i> = 205) (39%)	Cured (<i>n</i> = 320) (61%)	χ^2 value	<i>P</i> value
New classification					
Fistula-prone abscess	142	115 (81.0)	27 (19.0)	143.842	< 0.001
Non-fistula-prone abscess	383	90 (23.5)	293 (76.5)		
Gender					
Male	464	180 (38.8)	284 (61.2)	0.109	0.742
Female	61	25 (41.0)	36 (59.0)		
Age (years)					
< 20	7	3 (42.9)	4 (57.1)		
20-29	112	41 (36.6)	71 (63.4)		
30-39	126	51 (40.5)	75 (59.5)	1.808	0.771
40-49	129	55 (42.6)	74 (57.4)		
50-59	120	45 (37.5)	75 (62.5)		
> 60	31	10 (32.3)	21 (67.7)		
Body mass index (kg/m ²)					
< 28	436	168 (38.5)	268 (61.5)	0.278	0.592
≥ 28	89	37 (41.6)	52 (58.4)		
Time from symptom onset to surgery (days)					
< 7	404	117 (29.0)	287 (71.0)	74.940	< 0.001
≥ 7	121	88 (72.7)	33 (27.3)		
Smoking status					
Smoker	121	46 (38.0)	75 (62.0)	0.070	0.791
Non smoker	404	159 (39.4)	245 (60.6)		
Drinking habit					
Yes	89	39 (43.8)	50 (56.2)	1.026	0.311
No	436	166 (38.1)	270 (61.9)		
Spicy food habit					
Yes	149	55 (36.9)	94 (63.1)	0.398	0.528
No	376	150 (39.9)	226 (60.1)		
Diabetes mellitus					
Yes	58	15 (25.9)	43 (74.1)	4.763	0.029
No	467	190 (40.7)	277 (59.3)		
Fever					
Yes	122	51 (41.8)	71 (58.2)	0.507	0.476
No	403	154 (38.2)	249 (61.8)		
Preoperative antibiotic use					
Yes	194	99 (51.0)	95 (49.0)	18.565	< 0.001
No	331	106 (32.0)	225 (68.0)		
Constipation					
Yes	42	16 (38.1)	26 (61.9)	0.017	0.895
No	483	189 (39.1)	294 (60.9)		
Chronic diarrhea					

Yes	98	61 (62.2)	37 (37.8)	27.242	< 0.001
No	427	144 (33.7)	283 (66.3)		
Insomnia					
Yes	84	35 (41.7)	49 (58.3)	0.288	0.591
No	441	170 (38.5)	271 (61.5)		
Anesthesia method of perianal abscess					
Local	181	74 (40.9)	107 (59.1)	8.305	0.004
Spinal	141	36 (25.5)	105 (74.5)		
Abscess site					
Anterior	14	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)	0.537	0.911
Posterior	261	95 (36.4)	166 (63.6)		
Left	92	32 (34.8)	60 (65.2)		
Right	117	44 (37.6)	73 (62.4)		
Anatomic classification					
Perianal	322	110 (34.2)	212 (65.8)	43.458	< 0.001
Intersphincteric	94	24 (25.5)	70 (74.5)		
Ischiorectal	68	41 (60.3)	27 (39.7)		
Horseshoe	38	28 (73.7)	10 (26.3)		
Supralelevator	3	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)		
Pus volume (mL)					
< 5	349	131 (37.5)	218 (62.5)	1.001	0.317
≥ 5	176	74 (42.0)	102 (58.0)		
Pus culture					
With results	230	99 (43.0)	131 (57.0)	17.214	0.002
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	154	76 (49.4)	78 (50.6)		
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	37	14 (37.8)	23 (62.2)		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	19	1 (5.3)	18 (94.7)		
Others	20	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)		
No growth	295	106 (35.9)	189 (64.1)		

Table 2 Variable assignment table of risk factors

Variables	Assignment
Postoperative prognosis	1: Recurrence, 0: Cured
New classification	1: Fistula-prone abscess, 0: Non-fistula-prone abscess
Time from symptom onset to surgery (days)	1: ≥ 7, 0: < 7
Diabetes mellitus	1: Yes, 0: No
Preoperative antibiotic use	1: Yes, 0: No
Chronic diarrhea	1: Yes, 0: No
Anesthesia method of perianal abscess	1: Local, 0: Spinal
Anatomic classification	1: Perianal, 2: Intersphincteric, 3: Ischiorectal, 4: Horseshoe, 5: Supralelevator
Pus culture	1: <i>Escherichia coli</i> , 2: <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , 3: <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , 4: Others, 0: No results

Table 3 A multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence

Variables	B value	SE	Wald	P value	Odds ratio	95%CI
New classification	2.035	0.325	39.271	0.000	7.651	4.049-14.458
Time from symptom onset to surgery (days)	0.760	0.343	4.890	0.027	2.137	1.090-4.190
Chronic diarrhea	0.920	0.369	6.203	0.013	2.508	1.216-5.173
Anesthesia method of perianal abscess	0.836	0.288	8.436	0.004	2.308	1.313-4.059

did not progress to form an anal fistula during the follow-up period. This could be due to a small proportion of FPAs undergoing a reversal of fistulogenic tendency or errors in classification from clinical or imaging assessments, which resulted in the misclassification of patients, who would have belonged to the NFPA group, into the FPA group. This suggests that the accuracy of the classification system required continuous improvement.

Consistent with the previous findings[14], we also found that patients with a time ≥ 7 days from symptom onset to surgery were more likely to relapse than those with a time < 7 days ($\chi^2 = 74.940$, OR = 2.137). A substantial proportion of these patients were in the FPA group, suggesting that prolonged inflammation may progressively compromise the local barrier function. Thus, FPA appears to be an intermediate stage between abscess and fistula, indicating that timely I and D is essential to prevent postoperative recurrence.

In contrast to two previous studies[17,18], we found that chronic diarrhea was a predisposing factor for anorectal abscess recurrence ($\chi^2 = 27.242$, OR = 2.508). Chronic diarrhea is often associated with intestinal flora dysbiosis[19]. Anorectal abscesses are caused mainly by bacterial infection[20] and are associated with the gut microbiota[21]. Significant differences exist in the diversity and abundance of gut microbiota between healthy individuals and patients with perianal abscesses[22]. Based on this observation, it is plausible to hypothesize that intestinal flora dysbiosis plays an essential role in the occurrence and recurrence of anorectal abscesses by possibly depleting the protective mucin of the anal glands, thereby damaging the local barrier. Therefore, it is meaningful to intervene and regulate the intestinal flora postoperatively to prevent recurrence in patients with anorectal abscess and chronic diarrhea.

Furthermore, anorectal abscesses drained in the operating room have a lower recurrence rate[23]. Our study yielded similar results; patients who underwent surgery under local anesthesia on an outpatient basis had a recurrence rate more than 2-fold higher than those who received spinal anesthesia on an inpatient basis ($\chi^2 = 8.305$, OR = 2.308). This may be because, compared to local anesthesia, spinal anesthesia provides fuller exposure, more thorough drainage, and a lower likelihood of residual inflammation. Based on this finding, we recommend that patients with anorectal abscesses should be hospitalized and operated on under spinal anesthesia.

Notably, the postoperative recurrence rate of intersphincteric abscesses is significantly lower than those of the other classifications, contrary to this study[24]. We performed partial internal sphincterotomy to drain intersphincteric abscesses endoanally or transrectally. The only healed supralelevator abscess was treated with an internal sphincterotomy, which corroborates that the deep posterior intersphincteric space plays a vital role in developing and managing cryptoglandular fistula[25]. It can be surmised that the internal sphincter acts as a barrier role here, and the post-internal sphincterotomy recovery period can be viewed as a process of barrier reconstruction. Given the non-dominant role of the internal anal sphincter in anal continence, we advocate that in anorectal abscesses with incomplete internal anal sphincter, incision of the involved internal sphincter is beneficial in preventing postoperative recurrence.

The impact of postoperative antibiotic use on subsequent fistula formation in patients with anorectal abscesses has been studied in a series of studies with disparate results[24,26]. Nonetheless, preoperative antibiotic use has rarely been evaluated previously. Our study showed that this variable was a risk factor for postoperative recurrence ($\chi^2 = 18.565$), although it was not significant in multivariate logistic regression analysis. In today's society, with the use of the internet and social media, many patients self-diagnose and self-medicate. Additionally, it is relatively easy to obtain antibiotics in China. Consequently, patients only seek medical care when their self-treatment is ineffective. It is our view that these patients tended to have a more extended period from symptom onset to seeking medical advice, which may explain the higher rate of postoperative recurrence in patients who had used antibiotics preoperatively. While these antibiotics may be helpful for symptom relief, they may increase the risk of postoperative recurrence. Therefore, future research should investigate how the preoperative use of antibiotics affects the prognosis of patients with anorectal abscesses.

We found that patients with pus culture results of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were more prone to recurrence than those with *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), which supports previous findings[9,27]. Interestingly, 18 of 19 patients with *S. aureus* positive pus culture were cured, and all these patients had diabetes mellitus; the only one who relapsed did not have diabetes mellitus. Our study had similar observations to this one[13]. Diabetes mellitus may be a contributory factor for the development of anorectal abscesses; however, it seems to be a protective factor for recurrence. For patients with diabetes mellitus, we routinely monitored blood glucose levels and consulted an endocrinologist for glucose control. We analyzed the probable cause of this phenomenon: Patients with anorectal abscesses arising from poor glycemic control were strictly controlled for blood sugar postoperatively. Furthermore, it is also possible that patients with diabetes mellitus that have anorectal abscesses have different pathogenesis compared to other patients. It is essential to examine the mechanism of the effect of diabetes mellitus on patients with anorectal abscesses.

Several previous studies have yielded different results regarding BMI as a potential risk factor for postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscesses[17,23]. In our study, when analyzed in all patients, the recurrence rate was higher in the group with a BMI ≥ 28 than in the group with BMI < 28 , although statistical significance was not reached. When BMI was

Table 4 A univariate analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence in non-fistula-prone abscess subgroup, *n* (%)

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 383)	Recurrence (<i>n</i> = 90) (23.5%)	Cured (<i>n</i> = 293) (76.5%)	χ^2	<i>P</i> value
Gender					
Male	346	86 (24.9)	260 (75.1)	3.668	0.055
Female	37	4 (10.8)	33 (89.2)		
Age (years)					
< 20	5	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)		
20-29	88	22 (25.0)	66 (75.0)		
30-39	95	24 (25.3)	71 (74.7)	2.580	0.764
40-49	88	22 (25.0)	66 (75.0)		
50-59	87	19 (21.8)	68 (78.2)		
> 60	20	2 (10.0)	18 (90.0)		
Body mass index (kg/m ²)					
< 28	312	66 (21.2)	246 (78.8)	5.148	0.023
≥ 28	71	24 (33.8)	47 (66.2)		
Time from symptom onset to surgery(days)					
< 7	342	66 (19.3)	276 (80.7)	31.356	< 0.001
≥ 7	41	24 (58.5)	17 (41.5)		
Smoking status					
Smoker	93	24 (25.8)	69 (74.2)	0.364	0.546
Non smoker	290	66 (22.8)	224 (77.2)		
Drinking habit					
Yes	64	20 (31.3)	44 (68.7)	2.568	0.109
No	319	70 (21.9)	249 (78.1)		
Spicy food habit					
Yes	116	31 (26.7)	85 (73.3)	0.963	0.326
No	267	59 (22.1)	208 (77.9)		
Diabetes mellitus					
Yes	46	8 (17.4)	38 (82.6)	1.085	0.298
No	337	82 (24.3)	255 (75.7)		
Fever					
Yes	78	15 (19.2)	63 (80.8)	0.992	0.319
No	305	75 (24.6)	230 (75.4)		
Preoperative antibiotic use					
Yes	119	24 (20.2)	95 (79.8)	1.065	0.302
No	264	66 (25.0)	198 (75.0)		
Constipation					
Yes	31	8 (25.8)	23 (74.2)	0.100	0.752
No	352	82 (23.3)	270 (76.7)		
Chronic diarrhea					
Yes	58	27 (46.6)	31 (53.4)	20.206	< 0.001
No	325	63 (19.4)	262 (80.6)		
Insomnia					

Yes	59	15 (25.4)	44 (74.6)	0.144	0.705
No	324	75 (23.1)	249 (76.9)		
Anesthesia method of perianal abscess					
Local	132	36 (27.3)	96 (72.7)	7.191	0.007
Spinal	107	14 (13.1)	93 (86.9)		
Abscess site					
Anterior	12	2 (16.7)	10 (83.3)	2.062	0.560
Posterior	189	38 (20.1)	151 (79.9)		
Left	71	15 (21.1)	56 (78.9)		
Right	92	25 (27.2)	67 (72.8)		
Anatomic classification					
Perianal	239	50 (20.9)	189 (79.1)	40.211	< 0.001
Intersphincteric	73	5 (6.8)	68 (93.2)		
Ischiorectal	52	25 (48.1)	27 (51.9)		
Horseshoe	18	10 (55.6)	8 (44.4)		
Supralelevator	1	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)		
Pus volume (mL)					
< 5	253	52 (20.6)	201 (79.4)	3.597	0.058
≥ 5	130	38 (29.2)	92 (70.8)		
Pus culture					
With results	158	36 (22.8)	122 (77.2)	3.760	0.452
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	99	26 (26.3)	73 (73.7)		
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	26	5 (19.2)	21 (80.8)		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	17	1 (5.9)	16 (94.1)		
Others	16	4 (25.0)	12 (75.0)		
No growth	225	54 (24.0)	171 (76.0)		

Table 5 Variable assignment table of risk factors in non-fistula-prone abscess subgroup

Variables	Assignment
Postoperative prognosis	1: Recurrence, 0: Cured
Body mass index	1: ≥ 28, 0: < 28
Time from symptom onset to surgery(days)	1: ≥ 7, 0: < 7
Pus volume	1: ≥ 5, 0: < 5
Chronic diarrhea	1: Yes, 0: No
Anesthesia method of perianal abscess	1: Local, 0: Spinal
Anatomic classification	1: Perianal, 2: Intersphincteric, 3: Ischiorectal, 4: Horseshoe, 5: Supralelevator

analyzed in the NFPA subgroup; BMI ≥ 28 was a risk factor for relapse in both the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. This may be due to the control of some confounding factors through stratification. This suggests that special attention should be paid to postoperative follow-up of obese patients in the NFPA group.

Furthermore, our study indicated that sex, age, pus volume, smoking status, drinking and spicy food habits, fever, constipation, insomnia, and abscess site were not associated with the postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscesses.

Our study has several strengths, including: (1) The inclusion of a large population of patients with anorectal abscesses over a relatively long period; (2) The relatively comprehensive risk factors analyzed; and (3) To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study dedicated to the classification of anorectal abscesses according to their propensity to develop into fistulas, which is expected to shed some light on this issue.

Table 6 A multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for postoperative recurrence in non-fistula-prone abscess subgroup

Variables	B value	SE	Wald	P value	Odds ratio	95%CI
Body mass index	1.077	0.455	5.593	0.018	2.935	1.203-7.165
Time from symptom onset to surgery (days)	1.788	0.548	10.659	0.001	5.978	2.043-17.489
Chronic diarrhea	1.229	0.460	7.144	0.008	3.417	1.388-8.412
Anesthesia method of perianal abscess	1.206	0.387	9.721	0.002	3.341	1.565-7.133

However, this study had some limitations: (1) The retrospective nature of the study makes it difficult to ensure complete accuracy of the clinical data of some patients; (2) The generalizability of our study is potentially limited, given that this was a single-center study; and (3) Some cases that recurred after one year may be missed due to the relatively short follow-up period (one year).

A future multicenter prospective randomized clinical trial is essential to compare different surgical procedures for FPAs or NFPA. Additionally, the pathophysiological, immunological, and microbiological differences between FPAs and NFPA. deserve to be studied, which may yield biomarkers that help predict the postoperative recurrence of anorectal abscesses.

CONCLUSION

The new classification of anorectal abscesses proposed in this study is a reliable predictor of postoperative recurrence, and can be effectively used by anorectal surgeons. It provides specific guidance for selecting surgical procedure: For NFPA, I and D alone is recommended; for FPA, concurrent fistulotomy or seton placement can be attempted, and prompt and thorough I and D can significantly reduce postoperative recurrence. Furthermore, attention should be paid to regulating the intestinal flora in patients with chronic diarrhea and closely monitoring obese patients postoperatively.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Chen SZ and Shi RJ designed the research study; Chen SZ and Sun KJ performed the surgeries; Chen SZ, Gu YF and Zhao HY conducted follow-ups, collected the data, and drafted the article; Shi RJ and Sun KJ analyzed the data and made critical revisions to the article; Wang D performed the ultrasound reading; Shi YF performed the magnetic resonance imaging reading; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by The Zhenjiang City Key Research and Development Plan Social Development, China, No. SH2023047.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Yangzhong People's Hospital, No. KY202317.

Informed consent statement: The requirement for written informed consent was waived due to its retrospective and anonymous nature of this study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare no conflicts of interest related to the study.

Data sharing statement: Data from this study can be obtained from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement-checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country of origin: China

ORCID number: Shan-Zhong Chen 0009-0002-3891-6519; Kui-Jun Sun 0009-0005-5936-484X; Yi-Fan Gu 0000-0001-5789-7099; Hong-Yuan Zhao 0009-0009-8523-8539; Dong Wang 0009-0005-0661-3312; Yun-Fang Shi 0009-0007-5648-0743; Ren-Jie Shi 0009-0006-5932-0959.

S-Editor: Luo ML

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Xu ZH

REFERENCES

- 1 **Abcarian H.** Anorectal infection: abscess-fistula. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* 2011; **24**: 14-21 [PMID: 22379401 DOI: 10.1055/s-0031-1272819]
- 2 **Pearce L,** Newton K, Smith SR, Barrow P, Smith J, Hancock L, Kirwan CC, Hill J; North West Research Collaborative. Multicentre observational study of outcomes after drainage of acute perianal abscess. *Br J Surg* 2016; **103**: 1063-1068 [PMID: 27061287 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.10154]
- 3 **Lohsiriwat V.** Anorectal emergencies. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; **22**: 5867-5878 [PMID: 27468181 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i26.5867]
- 4 **Ho YH,** Tan M, Chui CH, Leong A, Eu KW, Seow-Choen F. Randomized controlled trial of primary fistulotomy with drainage alone for perianal abscesses. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1997; **40**: 1435-1438 [PMID: 9407981 DOI: 10.1007/BF02070708]
- 5 **Ramanujam PS,** Prasad ML, Abcarian H, Tan AB. Perianal abscesses and fistulas. A study of 1023 patients. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1984; **27**: 593-597 [PMID: 6468199 DOI: 10.1007/BF02553848]
- 6 **Cox SW,** Senagore AJ, Luchtefeld MA, Mazier WP. Outcome after incision and drainage with fistulotomy for ischiorectal abscess. *Am Surg* 1997; **63**: 686-689 [PMID: 9247434]
- 7 **Tang CL,** Chew SP, Seow-Choen F. Prospective randomized trial of drainage alone vs. drainage and fistulotomy for acute perianal abscesses with proven internal opening. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1996; **39**: 1415-1417 [PMID: 8969668 DOI: 10.1007/BF02054531]
- 8 **Schouten WR,** van Vroonhoven TJ. Treatment of anorectal abscess with or without primary fistulectomy. Results of a prospective randomized trial. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1991; **34**: 60-63 [PMID: 1991422 DOI: 10.1007/BF02050209]
- 9 **Hämäläinen KP,** Sainio AP. Incidence of fistulas after drainage of acute anorectal abscesses. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1998; **41**: 1357-1361 [PMID: 9823799 DOI: 10.1007/BF02237048]
- 10 **Tarasconi A,** Perrone G, Davies J, Coimbra R, Moore E, Azzaroli F, Abongwa H, De Simone B, Gallo G, Rossi G, Abu-Zidan F, Agnoletti V, de'Angelis G, de'Angelis N, Ansaloni L, Baiocchi GL, Carcoforo P, Ceresoli M, Chichom-Mefire A, Di Saverio S, Gaiani F, Giuffrida M, Hecker A, Inaba K, Kelly M, Kirkpatrick A, Kluger Y, Leppäniemi A, Litvin A, Ordoñez C, Pattonieri V, Peitzman A, Pikoulis M, Sakakushev B, Sartelli M, Shelat V, Tan E, Testini M, Velmahos G, Wani I, Weber D, Biffl W, Coccolini F, Catena F. Anorectal emergencies: WSES-AAST guidelines. *World J Emerg Surg* 2021; **16**: 48 [PMID: 34530908 DOI: 10.1186/s13017-021-00384-x]
- 11 **Gaertner WB,** Burgess PL, Davids JS, Lightner AL, Shogan BD, Sun MY, Steele SR, Paquette IM, Feingold DL; Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Anorectal Abscess, Fistula-in-Ano, and Rectovaginal Fistula. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2022; **65**: 964-985 [PMID: 35732009 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.0000000000002473]
- 12 **Huang X.** The "Hands" teaching method for the classification of anorectal abscess. *Asian J Surg* 2024; **47**: 1093-1094 [PMID: 37996380 DOI: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2023.10.124]
- 13 **Hamadani A,** Haigh PI, Liu IL, Abbas MA. Who is at risk for developing chronic anal fistula or recurrent anal sepsis after initial perianal abscess? *Dis Colon Rectum* 2009; **52**: 217-221 [PMID: 19279415 DOI: 10.1007/DCR.0b013e31819a5c52]
- 14 **Yano T,** Asano M, Matsuda Y, Kawakami K, Nakai K, Nonaka M. Prognostic factors for recurrence following the initial drainage of an anorectal abscess. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2010; **25**: 1495-1498 [PMID: 20640431 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-010-1011-9]
- 15 **Hasan ZAIY,** Mohamed B, AlSayegh R, AlMarzooq R. Incidence of anal fistula after pyogenic perianal abscess drainage in Kingdom of Bahrain. *Ann Coloproctol* 2023; **39**: 27-31 [PMID: 34376025 DOI: 10.3393/ac.2020.00962.0137]
- 16 **Holzheimer RG,** Siebeck M. Treatment procedures for anal fistulous cryptoglandular abscess--how to get the best results. *Eur J Med Res* 2006; **11**: 501-515 [PMID: 17182363]
- 17 **Lu D,** Lu L, Cao B, Li Y, Cao Y, Li Z, Wang Z, Lu J. Relationship Between Body Mass Index and Recurrence/Anal Fistula Formation Following Initial Operation for Anorectal Abscess. *Med Sci Monit* 2019; **25**: 7942-7950 [PMID: 31642447 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.917836]
- 18 **Ding C,** Chen Y, Yan J, Wang K, Tan SS. Risk factors for therapy failure after incision and drainage alone for perianal abscesses in children. *Front Pediatr* 2024; **12**: 1342892 [PMID: 38390277 DOI: 10.3389/fped.2024.1342892]
- 19 **Scalaferrri F,** Pizzoferrato M, Pecere S, Forte F, Gasbarrini A. Bacterial flora as a cause or treatment of chronic diarrhea. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2012; **41**: 581-602 [PMID: 22917165 DOI: 10.1016/j.gtc.2012.06.002]
- 20 **Alabbad J,** Abdul Raheem F, Alkhalifa F, Hassan Y, Al-Banoun A, Alfouzan W. Retrospective Clinical and Microbiologic Analysis of Patients with Anorectal Abscess. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 2019; **20**: 31-34 [PMID: 30234438 DOI: 10.1089/sur.2018.144]
- 21 **Brook I.** The role of anaerobic bacteria in cutaneous and soft tissue abscesses and infected cysts. *Anaerobe* 2007; **13**: 171-177 [PMID: 17923425 DOI: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2007.08.004]
- 22 **Yin H,** Luo B, Wang Q, Hong Z, Chen H, Shen L, Shen B, Hu B. Differences in Gut Microbiota between Healthy Individuals and Patients with Perianal Abscess before and after Surgery. *Mediators Inflamm* 2023; **2023**: 1165916 [PMID: 37091905 DOI: 10.1155/2023/1165916]
- 23 **Narayanan S,** Althans AR, Reitz KM, Allen LH, Kurukulasuriya C, Larkin TM, Reinert NJ, Cunningham KE, Watson AR, Celebrezze JP, Medich DS, Holder-Murray J. Drainage of anorectal abscesses in the operating room is associated with a decreased risk of abscess recurrence and fistula formation. *Am J Surg* 2023; **225**: 347-351 [PMID: 36150906 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2022.09.005]
- 24 **Sözener U,** Gedik E, Kessaf Aslar A, Ergun H, Halil Elhan A, Memikoğlu O, Bulent Erkek A, Ayhan Kuzu M. Does adjuvant antibiotic treatment after drainage of anorectal abscess prevent development of anal fistulas? A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter study. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011; **54**: 923-929 [PMID: 21730779 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.0b013e31821cc1f9]
- 25 **Zhang H,** Zhou ZY, Hu B, Liu DC, Peng H, Xie SK, Su D, Ren DL. Clinical Significance of 2 Deep Posterior Perianal Spaces to Complex Cryptoglandular Fistulas. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2016; **59**: 766-774 [PMID: 27384095 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.0000000000000628]
- 26 **Ghahramani L,** Minaie MR, Arasteh P, Hosseini SV, Izadpanah A, Bananzadeh AM, Ahmadbeigi M, Hooshanginejad Z. Antibiotic therapy for prevention of fistula in-ano after incision and drainage of simple perianal abscess: A randomized single blind clinical trial. *Surgery* 2017; **162**: 1017-1025 [PMID: 28822559 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2017.07.001]
- 27 **Toyonaga T,** Matsushima M, Tanaka Y, Shimojima Y, Matsumura N, Kannayama H, Nozawa M, Hatakeyama T, Suzuki K, Yanagita K, Tanaka M. Microbiological analysis and endoanal ultrasonography for diagnosis of anal fistula in acute anorectal sepsis. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2007; **22**: 209-213 [PMID: 16601946 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-006-0121-x]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

