Responses to review comments

Please find below our responses to the helpful review comments.

Science editor

(1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Figure 1 (the Prisma chart) has been moved to a Powerpoint slide.

(2) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 18 words

The title has been amended to 18 words.

(3) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text

The core tip/article highlights section had already been completed. We have moved this from before, to after the main text.

(4) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

PubMed and DOI citation numbers have been corrected where applicable. Some records did not have PMID identification.

The incidence of diabetes varies widely around the world. This paper discusses that the incidence of
both type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes is increasing year by year, and the incidence of diabetic ketoacidosis in women ranges from 19.3 to 70%. Pancreatic autoantibodies are often similar to those in the European population. The fulminant and slowly progressive form of T1D may also occur. The research direction is of great significance and is worthy of our related research and publicity to control the rise of morbidity. I think the author’s viewpoint is very good.

Thanks for your comment.