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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported a case of a 74-year-old woman with primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma presenting as acute kidney injury. Because primary renal lymphoma is extremely rare, with an incidence of 0.7% among the extranodal lymphomas, this manuscript is important. However, there are some points to be revised in this manuscript. (1) As stated by the authors, I know that primary renal lymphoma is extremely rare. However, because there have been 121 cases reported to date, the authors should report the novelty in this case report. (2) I understand that it is important to expand the age range and increase the number of cases. However, since the age range is large, from 1989 to 2023, there may be significant differences in treatment strategies and prognosis by age, making it difficult to summarize the results. Therefore, the authors should appropriately consider the chronology of the review and state the reasons for compiling it in that time period. (3) Table 3 in page 5 seems to be a mistake for Table 2. Therefore, the authors should revise it. (4) Mortality rates are different in Table 1 (17.4 %) and Table 2 (17.1 %). The authors should make appropriate corrections, including the data in the manuscript (page 6, 2nd paragraph). (5) There are
two references to “no treatment: 1 case” in page 6, 2nd paragraph. The authors should delete one of them. (6) HE staining is in Figure 1A only, CD20 staining is in Figure 1B, and CD3 staining is in Figure 1C. However, the figure legend in Figure 1 is incorrect and should be corrected appropriately by the authors.
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### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, Lee, et al. reported a case of primary renal lymphoma (pathological type: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, DLBCL) and also reviewed corresponding clinicopathological features. Although present report involves in a relatively rare case, the significance is limited. More detailed clinicopathological information, ex. molecular and genetic pathological features.
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### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript reports a case of primary renal lymphoma, which makes progress in the study of primary renal lymphoma. But unfortunately, the authors were unable to regard the prognosis of the disease. The SEER database has follow-up data for primary renal lymphoma, so the authors may be able to try to use the SEER database for prognostic analysis. Here, I make some suggestions for this manuscript.

1. The patient's clinical data is not complete. Other clinical information, such as lactate dehydrogenation (LDH), blood routine results, etc, should be provided.
2. The introduction and discussion sections of the manuscript lacks a description of prognostic factors associated with primary renal lymphoma, including clinicopathologic factors and gene profiles. This literature, PMID: 34128320, showed that a nomogram integrating traditional prognostic indicators and gene profile could improve the prediction accuracy of lymphoma. The authors may consider adding some discussion on the factors affecting the prognosis of primary renal lymphoma, and consider this literature, PMID: 34128320, as a reference.
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