

Reviewer #1:

The quality report that author mentioned towards his article, however, not seen by me, kindly add or pardon me if already exists. The article provides additional information on an already published article and thus may serve as a corollary to the previous article. Authors body of work is commendable. The topic is always going generate debates and future work shall be making us more wise in this regard. Author explanation of adoption of his therapeutic line of management in the face of an extraordinary calamity is worth an applause especially when success claims have been backed by data. I, however, am not sure if the number of references provided, though relevant, in the letter to editor are permitted and if editors have no problems with it, it can be kept. We encourage authors to keep doing good work on relevant unmet needs of their society and bring robust work to the medical literature and enrich it. It is clear that their research works might have faced various resource and other issues and I can also related to. All the best for the future.

Thank you very much for taking precious time to review this correspondence. I'm truly honored to receive your appraisal and I'm truly grateful for your encouragement to continue research trying to fulfill some unmet medical needs. I truly appreciate it.